

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W.P.(C) No.6918 of 2025

Kalpana Devi

.... **Petitioner**

-Versus-

Indian Bank, Stressed Asset Mangement Branch, Lalpur, Ranchi & Ors.

.... **Respondents**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR

For the Petitioner

: Mr. Sachin Kumar, Advocate

For the Respondents

: Mr. P.A.S Pati, Advocate

: Mrs. Rakhi Rani, Advocate

05/Dated: 21st January, 2026

1. The order dated 06th November, 2025 reads as under:-

“Heard both the sides.

2. The defect is ignored for the present.

3. No Counter Affidavit has been filed on behalf of the Respondent No.1-Bank in the light of order dated 14.10.2025 passed by the Co-ordinate Bench (Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Kumar) of this Court.

4. Mr. Sachin Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner and the Respondent No.2 are still ready for the compromise and the petitioner has sent proposal letter to the Bank even prior to the auction and after the auction of property in question but the Respondent No.1 i.e. the Bank has not given any reply to the offer made by the writ petitioner.

5. Under the circumstances, Issue notice to the Respondent No.2 and 3 by Speed Post with A/D as well as ordinary process and for which, requisites etc. must be filed within one week from today, failing which this Writ Petition shall stand dismissed without further reference to the Bench.

6. The Respondent-Bank is directed to file Counter Affidavit by giving the specific reply to the proposal made, if any by the Respondent No.2 or petitioner.

7. It has been informed that the property in question has been auctioned on 19.07.2024 and for the amount of 9.85 Crore.

8. It will be open to the petitioner and Respondent No.1 to make reasonable offer nearby the auction sale of property.

9. The petitioner is also permitted to serve notice upon Respondent No.2 and 3 by Dasti Summon also and file the Affidavit. The petitioner is further directed to show the nearby the payment of amount of Rs.9.85 Crore.

10. Put up this case on 2nd December 2025 and till then no coercive step will be taken against the petitioner.”

2. A supplementary counter affidavit dated 04.12.2025 has been filed by the respondent-Bank. Paragraph 5 of the said supplementary counter affidavit are relevant, which are quoted hereinbelow:-

“5. That it is stated that the petitioner has submitted a proposal for compromise vide her letter dated 26.11.2025. The answering respondent has replied to the said letter informing the petitioner that the proposal for compromise submitted by her cannot be considered by the bank for the reasons stated therein.”

3. Although, the proposal has been rejected, but that rejection is not in terms of the directions given by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, vide order dated 06th November, 2025.

4. In that view of the matter, the petitioner is permitted to deposit the amount in terms of the offer and the respondents are directed to take a fresh decision as directed earlier by the Co-ordinate bench of this Court.

5. Accordingly, list this case after six weeks.

(Rajesh Kumar, J.)

21st January, 2026
Raja/-Uploaded