

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Cr. Revision No. 8764 of 2025 (Filing No.)

Vishwajeet Singh

... .. **Petitioner**

Versus

1. State of Jharkhand

2. Navin Kumar

... .. **Opposite Parties**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY

For the Petitioner

: Ms. K. Pragati R. Narayan, Adv.

For the State

: Ms. Malti Pathak, A.C. to P.P.

For the O.P. No. 2.

: Mr. Arvind Kumar Singh, Adv.

I.A. No. 4855 of 2025

05/04.05.2026

Heard Ms. K. Pragati R. Narayan, learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.C. to P.P. for the State as well as Mr. Arvind Kumar Singh, learned counsel appearing for the opposite party no. 2.

This application has been preferred by the petitioner for condoning a delay of 71 days in filing the revision application.

Having been satisfied with the reasons assigned in the instant application, the same is allowed and the delay of 71 days in filing the revision application is hereby condoned.

I.A. No. 4855 of 2025 stands disposed of.

I.A. No. 4859 of 2025

This application has been preferred by the petitioner for exemption to surrender in terms of Rule 159 of the Jharkhand High Court, Rules.

It has been averred in the instant application that a joint compromise was effected between both the parties on 30.03.2025 consequent to which an amount of Rs. 3,25,000/- was extended to the opposite party no. 2.

Submission has been advanced by the learned counsel for the opposite party no. 2 that the compromise was effected by putting pressure upon the opposite party no. 2 who was bedridden and despite an amount of Rs. 6,00,000/- being agreed as full and final payment but only an amount of Rs. 3,25,000/- was paid on 30.03.2025 and the petitioner is

evading to make payment of the rest amount as agreed in the compromise.

In view of the fact that the petitioner has not adhered to the terms and conditions of the compromise as stated by the learned counsel for the opposite party no. 2, I am not inclined to grant exemption to the petitioner to surrender in connection with Complaint Case No. 3405 of 2020.

I.A. No. 4859 of 2025 stands rejected.

I.A. No. 4857 of 2025

This application has been preferred by the petitioner for grant of bail to him during the pendency of this revision application.

Nothing has been stated in this Interlocutory Application as to whether the petitioner is in custody or not and it appears that after this Interlocutory Application for bail was preferred, the petitioner had preferred another Interlocutory Application for exemption to surrender being I.A. No. 4859 of 2025 which has been rejected as noted above. This Interlocutory Application, therefore, being infructuous is accordingly dismissed.

(Rongon Mukhopadhyay, J.)

Dated, the 4th May, 2026
A. Sanga/-
Uploaded on 08/05/2026