

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

B.A. No. 3731 of 2026

Mohammad Shahid @ Shahid Hussain @ Md Shahid, Son of – Ali Hussain
... .. **Petitioner**

Versus

The State of Jharkhand **Opposite Party**

CORAM: HON’BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Chandan Kumar, Advocate
For the Opp. Party : Ms. Lily Sahay, APP

02/05.05.2026 Heard the learned counsels appearing on behalf of the parties.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 25.11.2024 in connection with Cyber Crime Case No. 2 of 2025 arising out of Mango (Olidih) P.S. Case No. 319 of 2024, registered under Sections 64, 352 and 351(2)(3) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and under section 66(c)/66(E) of Information Technology Act, now pending in the court of learned Additional Sessions Judge-II at Jamshedpur.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the bail application of the petitioner was rejected earlier vide order dated 04.09.2025 in B.A. No. 6908 of 2025, but the trial has not yet been concluded and two witnesses namely, the victim and her son, have been examined.

4. Learned counsel for the opposite party- State, on the other hand, has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is direct allegation against the petitioner. She further submits that the two witnesses who have been examined, have supported the prosecution case.

5. After hearing the learned counsels for the parties and considering the fact that the charge was framed way back as on 05.05.2025 and out of 8 witnesses 2 witnesses have been examined, and the fact that the bail application of the petitioner has been rejected on merits earlier, this Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail and hence, this bail application is rejected.

6. To this, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the next date in the trial is **18.05.2026**.
7. Learned counsel for the State has taken note of the next date in trial.
8. The State is directed to ensure that the remaining witnesses are promptly produced before the learned court.
9. Learned counsel for the State is directed to communicate this order to the Director, Prosecution and also to the Superintendent of Police of the concerned district to ensure compliance.
10. Let a copy of this order be communicated to the learned court concerned through “FAX/email”.

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)

Date of Order:05.05.2026

Pankaj

Date of Uploading:07.05.2026