

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Cr. Appeal (SJ) No.457 of 2019

Kedar Paswan Appellant
Versus
The Union of India through CBI/ SPE/Ranchi
..... Respondent
With

Cr. Appeal (SJ) No.284 of 2019

Ramanand Ram @ Ramannand Ram Appellant
Versus
The Union of India through C.B.I. Respondent
With

Cr. Appeal (SJ) No.364 of 2019

Smt. Shobha Sinha Appellant
Versus
The Union of India through C.B.I. Respondent
With

Cr. Appeal (SJ) No.444 of 2019

Md. Ilyas Hussain @ Md. Illiyas Hussain
..... Appellant
Versus
The Union of India through Central Bureau of Investigation
..... Respondent
With

Cr. Appeal (SJ) No.458 of 2019

Dhudheshwar Nath Singh @ Dudheshwar Nath Singh
..... Appellant
Versus
The Union of India through CBI/ SPE/Ranchi
..... Respondent
With

Cr. Appeal (SJ) No.469 of 2019

Md. Sahabuddin Baig Appellant

Versus

The Union of India through C.B.I./ SPE, Ranchi

.... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Appellants : Mr. Ajit Kumar, Sr. Advocate
Mrs. J. Mazumdar, Advocate
Ms. Chandana Kumari, Advocate
Mr. Jitendra Shankar Singh, Advocate
Mr. Kripa Shankar Nanda, Advocate
Mr. Raunak Sahay, Advocate
Mr. J. Tiwari, Advocate

For the C.B.I. : Mrs. Nitu Sinha, Advocate
Mr. Rohit Sinha, Advocate
Mr. B. K. Prasad, Advocate

Order No.07 Dated- 16.11.2021

In Cr.Appeal (S.J.) Nos.457 of 2019 and 364 of 2019

Heard the parties.

Mr. Ajit Kumar, learned senior counsel for the appellant of Cr. Appeal (S.J.) No.457 of 2019 submits that keeping in view of the fact that the appellant-Kedar Paswan was aged about 71 years on the date of the judgment i.e. on 22.02.2019 and since then he has been in custody as also he was in custody for about two months during the trial and he faced rigors of trial since 1997 to 2019, hence, it is submitted that he will seek instruction from the appellant-Kedar Paswan not to contest the appeal on merit and will only confine his argument on the point of the sentence of the appellant-Kedar Paswan and prays for time.

Mr. Raunak Sahay, learned counsel for the appellant of Cr. Appeal (S.J.) No.364 of 2019 submits that keeping in view of the fact that the appellant- Smt. Sobha Sinha was aged about 68 years on the date of the judgment i.e. on 22.02.2019 and since then she is in custody except the brief period during which she was released on provisional bail and she has been in custody for about twenty three months during the trial and after conviction taken together and she also faced rigors of trial since 1997

to 2019, hence, it is submitted that he will seek instruction from the appellant- Smt. Sobha Sinha not to contest the appeal on merit and will only confine his argument on the point of the sentence of the appellant- Smt. Sobha Sinha and prays for time.

Prayer for time is allowed.

List these appeals on 18.11.2021.

Pappu/

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

In Cr.Appeal (S.J.) Nos.284 of 2019, 458 of 2019 and 469 of 2019

Heard the parties.

Learned counsel for the appellants prays for time.

Prayer for time is allowed. The appellant is in custody.

List these appeals on 18.11.2021.

Pappu/

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

In Cr.Appeal (S.J.) No.444 of 2019

Heard Mr. Jitendra Shankar Singh, learned counsel for the appellant in part.

List this appeal on 18.11.2021 for further hearing on the merits of the appeal.

Pappu/

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)