

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

F. A. No. 9 of 2007

West Bokaro Colliery (TISCO), now Tata Steel Limited
... .. **Appellant**

Versus

Kashi Nath Manjhi and Others **Respondents**

CORAM :HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

For the Appellant : Mr. Pratyush Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondents : Mr. Nand Kishor Pandey, Advocate

27/20.01.2023

As per the office note, notice has been served upon Respondent Nos. 1(i), 4(iii), 5(i), 5(ii), 6(ii), 6(iii), 6(iv) & 6(ix).

2. Notice upon respondent nos. 4(i) and 4(ii) has been served to their wives. Accordingly, notice upon respondent nos. 4(i) and 4(ii) is accepted as valid.

3. Notice upon respondent no. 6(i) is served through his son. Therefore, notice upon respondent no. 6(i) is accepted as valid.

4. Notice upon respondent nos. 6(vi), 6(vii) and 6(viii) has been served through their mother. Therefore, the notice upon respondent nos. 6(vi), 6(vii) and 6(viii) is also accepted as valid.

5. Notice upon respondent no. 6(v) has returned unserved by reporting that respondent no. 6(v) is dead.

6. Learned counsel for the appellant seeks time to take proper steps.

7. At the prayer of the learned counsel for the appellant, post this case on 17.03.2023.

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)

Pankaj