

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W.P.(C) No. 2942 of 2026

Vishal Krishna .. Petitioner(s)

-Versus-
State of Jharkhand and Ors. ...Respondent(s)

CORAM : SRI ANANDA SEN, J.

For the petitioner(s): M/s Kalyan Roy and Sidhartha Roy, Advocates
For the State Mrs. Sunita Kumari, AC to Sr. SC-II

02/27.04.2026: Learned counsel for the respondents prays for some time to file counter affidavit.

Prayer is allowed.

List this case after six weeks under the heading "For Admission".

It is the grievance of the petitioner that suddenly the respondents have come to a conclusion that the area over which the excise licence of the petitioner was given, was objectionable.

This Court fails to understand that when the licence was given to the petitioner from 1.9.2025 to 31.3.2026 and the petitioner abide by the said licence and be set up a shop and had worked for the aforesaid period and even after the licence was renewed for the said place, the respondent did not object the place to be objectionable then how after accepting the renewal fees and allowing the petitioner to work from 1.9.2025 to 31.3.2026, the respondent can arrive at a conclusion that the land over which the shop is constructed is objectionable.

Since the renewal fees has already been accepted by the respondents and they have allowed the petitioner to work since September 2025, the impugned orders dated 6.11.2025 (Annexure-2) and 31.3.2026 (Annexure-5) are kept in abeyance.

27th April, 2026.
Anu-Cp2.

(ANANDA SEN, J.)