

[2026:JHHC:12670]

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No.3545 of 2026

Sanjay Das @ Sajan, aged about 43 years, Son of Late Ramchandra Das, Permanent Resident of Ward No. 12, House No. 212, Masjid Line Gali No.2, Shivpur, Charcha, P.O. + P.S. – Koriya, Dist- Koriya, State – Chattisgarh.

Present R/O Village – Lakhari, P.O. + P.S. – Pachamba, District- Giridih.

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand Opposite Party

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Govind Ray Karan, Advocate.
Mr. Nagmani Tiwari, Advocate.

For the State : Mr. Sudhir Kumar Mahto, Addl.P.P

Order No.02 Dated- 29-04-2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has been made accused in connection with Pachamba P.S. Case No. 7 of 2020, corresponding to S.T. Case No. 134 of 2026 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 302, 201, 34 of the I.P.C.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner in furtherance of common intention with the co-accused persons has committed the murder of Aman Kumar. It is submitted that the allegation against the petitioner is false. It is next submitted that the petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. and led to discovery of blood stained pointed stone used in commission of murder; which has been recovered on the basis of the confessional statement of co-accused namely Rahul Das. It is next submitted that except the confessional statement of the co-accused leading to recovery of the weapon of offence, there is no other material to implicate the petitioner in this case. It is submitted that charge-sheet has not been submitted and no witness has been examined. It is next submitted that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para-18 of the bail petition. It is then submitted that the petitioner undertakes that he

will co-operate with the trial of the case and will not annoy or disturb the informant or witnesses of the case. It is lastly submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 11.01.2026 as mentioned in para-17 of the instant bail application. Hence it is submitted that the petitioner be released on bail.

Learned Addl.P.P. opposes the prayer for bail.

Considering the facts of this case, the above-named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Sessions Judge-I, at Giridih in connection with Pachamba P.S. Case No. 7 of 2020, corresponding to S.T. Case No. 134 of 2026 **with the condition that he will co-operate with the trial of the case and furnish his mobile number and photocopy of the Aadhar Card in the court below with an undertaking that he will not change his mobile number during the trial of the case and will not annoy or disturb the informant or witnesses of the case.**

.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

29/04/2026

Amar/