

(2026:JHHC:27878)
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Cr.M.P. No. 1142 of 2025

-
1. Bandi Bhagat, aged about 61 years, son of Late Indarnath Bhagat,
 2. Promila Bhagat, aged about 60 years, Wife of Bandi Bhagat,
 3. Vikas Kumar, aged about 34 years, Son of Bandi Bhagat,
 4. Varsha Rani, aged about 33 years, daughter of Bandi Bhagat,
- All permanent residents of Sindwartoli, Morbadi, P.O. & P.S. Bariatu, District -Ranchi (Jharkhand).

.... Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. Babar Ali, Son of Chand Bhoraw, resident of Netaji Nagar, Refuji Colony, Kali Mandir, P.O. -Kantatoli, P.S. Lower Bazar, District -Ranchi (Jharkhand)

.... Opp. Parties

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioners	: Mr. Ankit Apurva, Advocate
For the State	: Mrs. Kumari Rashmi, Addl. P.P.
For the O.P. No.2	: Mr. Akash Kr. Lal, Advocate

Order No.06 Dated- 15.09.2026
I.A. No.12545 of 2026

Heard the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that this interlocutory application has been filed with the prayer to amend the Cr.M.P. No. 1142 of 2025 by incorporating the additional prayer to quash the order dated 21.07.2026, passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class -XXI, Ranchi in connection with G.R. Case No. 1785 of 2025 arising out of Bariatu P.S. Case No. 302 of 2024 whereby and where under the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class -XXI, Ranchi has rejected the discharge application filed by the petitioner under Section 262 of the BNSS in para-1 and prayer portion of the Cr.M.P. It is further submitted that the petitioner intends to incorporate para-6 to para-20 of this interlocutory application after para-34 and number them as para -35 to 49 and further to re-number para-35 to 37 of the first amended Cr.M.P. as para -50 to 52 respectively. It is next submitted that the proposed amendment was

necessitated because of the developments which took place after filing of this criminal miscellaneous petition. It is then submitted that the proposed amendment by incorporating the additional prayer will not change the nature and character of the instant criminal miscellaneous petition. It is lastly submitted that unless the proposed amendment is allowed, the petitioners will be highly prejudiced.

The learned Addl. P.P. and the learned counsel for the opposite party no.2 have no objection to the aforesaid prayer.

Considering the aforesaid facts, the prayer for amendment made in this interlocutory application is allowed.

The learned counsel for the petitioners files the consolidated criminal miscellaneous petition. Keep the same in the record.

This interlocutory application is disposed of accordingly.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

Cr. M.P. No.1142 of 2025

Heard the parties.

The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners seek permission of this Court to withdraw this criminal miscellaneous petition with liberty to raise all the grounds mentioned in this criminal miscellaneous petition before the appropriate court at appropriate stage.

Permission is accorded.

This criminal miscellaneous petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)