

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Civil Review No. 32 of 2023

Deodhar Sao and others Petitioners
Versus
Most. Ghama and others Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI
For the Petitioner :Ms. Ashwini Priya, Advocate
For the Respondents : Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate

06/ 09.01.2026: Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that for ignoring defect no. 4, I.A. No. 16077 of 2025 has been filed. She submits that office has pointed out defect as per para 5 of the memo of review application, appellant no. 5 of the connected case died on 12.01.2002. However proper step may be taken by the learned advocate.

2. She submits that appellant no.5 has died on 12.01.2022 however inadvertently proper step has not been taken and legal heirs and successors of the appellant no.5 were already made appellant nos. 1 to 4. She submits in para 5 of the review petition date of death of original appellant no.5 has been mentioned as 12.1.2002 instead of 12.01.2022 due to typographical error.

3. In view of such submission and considering that the legal heirs and successors of the appellant no.5 has already been made appellant nos. 1 to 4 in the Second Appeal No. 135 of 2012, in view of that defect no. 4 is ignored.

4. I.A. No. 16077 of 2025 stands disposed of.

5. I.A. No. 8286 of 2023 has been for accepting vakalatnama on behalf of the respondents.

6. For the reasons assigned in the I.A, prayer made in I.A. is allowed and vakalatnama on behalf of the respondents is accepted.

7. I.A. No. 8286 of 2023 stands disposed of.

8. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that with regard to limitation and merit of the case, this matter may kindly be taken up after a week.

9. Let this matter appear after one week.

Dt.09.01.2026

satyarthi-

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)