

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
S.A. No.22 of 2013

Bishwanath Prasad Gupta & Others Appellants
Versus
Parbati Kuer & Others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Appellants : Mr. Kundan Kr. Ambastha, Advocate

Order No.11 Dated- 17/11/2022

Heard the learned counsel for the appellants.

Learned counsel for the appellants submits that defect No.1 pointed out by the office note dated 22.07.2022 is that the name of the respondent in the decree of the appellate court differs from that on the judgment of the appellate court and the parentage and complete address with P.O./P.S. and District name are also missing. Name of other respondents with parentage and complete address with P.O./P.S. and District name are missing in the certified copy of the decree under appeal.

It is next submitted by the learned counsel for the appellants that in the decree of the trial court, the name, parentage and address of all the respondents has been mentioned and the same has been correctly incorporated in the appeal memo. Hence, the defect No.1 pointed out by the office note dated 22.07.2022 be ignored.

Considering the aforesaid facts, the defect No.1 pointed out by the office note dated 22.07.2022 is ignored.

Learned counsel for the appellants prays for time.

Prayer for time is allowed as the last chance.

List this appeal after eight weeks under the heading 'Admission.'