

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W.P.(C) No.2325 of 2024**

Anand Kumar aged about 55 years son of Bijay Mohan Prasad being the Proprietor of Swastik Enterprises residents of Adityapur, P.O and P.S Adityapur, Town Jamshedpur, District East Singhbhum (Jharkhand).

..... Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Jharkhand through Chief Secretary, Government of Jharkhand Project Building, Dhurwa, P.O. and P.S. Dhurwa, District-Ranchi.
2. Principal Secretary, Rural Works Development Department, Government of Jharkhand, Project Building, Dhurwa, P.O. and P.S. Dhurwa, District-Ranchi.
3. Executive Engineer, Rural Development Division, Chaibasa, P.O., P.S. and District West Singhbhum.
4. Assistant Engineer, Rural Development Division, Chaibasa, P.O., P.S. and District West Singhbhum.
5. Junior Engineer, Rural Development Division, Chaibasa, P.O., P.S. and District West Singhbhum.
6. Superintendent of Police, West Singhbhum Chaibasa, P.O. and P.S. Chaibasa, District West Singhbhum.

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR

For the Petitioner : Mr. Sandeep Verma, Advocate
For the Resp.-State : Mr. Vineet Prakash, AC to SC-IV

06/Dated: 20th January, 2026

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
2. The present writ petition has been filed for the following relief :-

“(a) for issuance of an appropriate writ/ rule/ order/ direction to the respondents authorities for consideration of payment of admitted dues to the petitioner on account of successful completion of work orders allotted to the petitioner by virtue of different agreements being Agreement No. 52F2/2015-16 to 58F2/2015-16 for carrying out different construction work in West Singhbhum, Chaibasa in the Department of Police.”

2026:JHHC:1447

3. On pointing out that the claim is beyond the period of limitation, reference has been made to the letter dated 23.04.2016, which is an internal communication between the Executive Engineer, Rural Development Special Division, West Singhbhum, Chaibasa and the Superintendent of Police, West Singhbhum, Chaibasa.

4. The internal communication cannot extend the period of limitation.

5. Since the work is of the year 2015-16 and the writ petition has been filed in the year 2024 i.e. much beyond of the period of limitation and as such, I am not inclined to entertain the present writ petition and accordingly the same is, hereby, disposed of with a liberty to the petitioner to work out his remedy in accordance with law.

6. Pending interlocutory application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(Rajesh Kumar, J.)

20th January, 2026
Ravi-Chandan/-
Uploaded on 21.01.2026