

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
A.B.A. No. 2156 of 2026

1. Samual Kisku @ Saiman Kisku, aged about 52 years, son of Late Matal Kisku.
2. Chhutar Kisku, aged about 36 years, son of Late Matal Kisku.
3. Matal Kisku, aged about 30 years, son of Late Devilal Kisku.
4. Sakal Kisku, aged about 34 years, son of Late Devilal Kisku.
5. Babudhan Kisku, aged about 29 years, son of Saiman Kisku @Samual Kisku.

All resident of Village- Bishunpur, P.O. Malpahari, P.S.- Malpahari(O.P.), District- Pakur (Jharkhand).

		...	Petitioners
	Versus		
The State of Jharkhand			...
			Opposite Party

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioners	:	Mr. Rajeeva Sharma, Sr. Advocate.
	:	Mr. Om Prakash, Advocate.
For the State	:	Mr. Vijoy Kumar Sinha, A.P.P.

03/ 30.04.2026 Learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that petitioner Nos. 1 and 2 namely Samual Kisku @ Saiman Kisku and Chhutar Kisku have already been apprehended and in view of that he is not pressing this petition on their behalf.

2. Learned A.P.P. appearing for the State has got no objection.

3. As such, this petition is dismissed as not pressed so far as petitioner No. 1 and 2 Samual Kisku @ Saiman Kisku and Chhutar Kisku are concerned and this petition only survives for petitioner Nos. 3 to 5.

4. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.P.P for the State.

5. The petitioner Nos. 3 to 5 are apprehending their arrest in connection with Pakur Town (Malpahari O.P.) P.S. Case No. 280 of 2025, registered for the offence under Sections 126(2), 117(2), 118(1),

109, 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, pending in the court of learned S.D.J.M., Pakur.

6. Learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that there are general and omnibus allegations against these petitioners of making assault and the altercation took place due to land dispute and both the sides are agnates. On this ground, he submits that anticipatory bail may kindly be provided to the petitioners.

7. Learned A.P.P. appearing for the State has opposed the prayer and submits that allegation of making assault is there and in view of that the prayer for anticipatory bail may kindly be rejected.

8. On perusal of the contents of the FIR, it transpires that there is direct allegation of making assault against Sanjhla Kisku and Bulai Kisku by way of using the arrow and pursuant to that injury has been received by the brother of the informant. So far as petitioner Nos. 3 to 5 are concerned, there are general and omnibus allegations against them and it has been pointed out that both the sides are agnates.

9. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner Nos. 3 to 5, named above.

10. Accordingly, the above-named petitioner Nos. 3 to 5 are directed to surrender before the learned Court within three weeks from today and in the event of their arrest or surrender, he shall be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Twenty Five Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned S.D.J.M., Pakur, in connection with Pakur Town (Malpahari O.P.) P.S. Case No. 280 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

Dated:-30.03.2026
Amitesh/-