

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W.P.(C) No.1565 of 2021

Raj Kumar Bhagat, son of Late Muni Lal Bhagat, resident of Village Pathargama (Tulsikitta), P.O. + P.S. + Block Pathargama, District Godda, Jharkhand. **... .. Petitioner**

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand.
2. The Director, National Health Mission, RCH Campus, Namkum, P.O. + P.S. Namkum, District Ranchi.
3. The Deputy Commissioner, Godda, P.O. + P.S. + District Godda.
4. The Civil Surgeon, Godda, P.O. + P.S. + District Godda.
5. The District Programme Co-ordinator (NHM), Godda, P.O. + P.S. + District Godda.
6. The Incharge Medical Officer, Community Health Centre, Pathargama, P.O. + P.S. + Block Pathargama, District Godda.
7. The Head Clerk, Community Health Centre, Pathargama, P.O. + P.S. + Block Pathargama, District Godda.

... .. Respondents

CORAM : SRI ANANDA SEN, J.

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. Santosh Kumar, Advocate
 For the Respondent(s): Mr. Awanish Shekhar, AC to AAG-I

09/ 29.04.2026

By filing this writ petition, the petitioner has prayed for the following reliefs:-

"for issuance of an appropriate writ/s, order/s, direction/s in the nature of 'Mandamus' directing/commanding upon the respondents authority to make proper enquiry in the matter of misuse/defalcation of govt. fund (so far as Community Health Centre Pathargama is concerned) which is being given under National Health Mission Scheme by the govt. to each and every Block Level Community Health Centre through the concerned district hospital in the name of 'Mamta Wahan' to facilitate the pregnant women and children below 1 year and further for direction to take immediate proper action against the concerned responsible authorities so that no

body can cause loss or failure of such welfare scheme of govt."

2. Heard learned counsel representing the petitioner and learned counsel representing the respondents.

3. From the aforesaid prayer made by the petitioner, this Court finds that this writ petition is in the nature of "Public Interest Litigation".

4. The petitioner is alleging irregularities and illegalities committed by the respondents while running the Schemes of the Government.

5. The relief which the petitioner seeks, cannot be granted in this writ petition, thus I am not inclined to entertain this writ petition.

6. However, the petitioner is at liberty to file a Public Interest Litigation Writ Petition, or to approach the appropriate Authority, if at all he wants.

7. With the aforesaid observation, this writ petition stands **disposed of.**

(ANANDA SEN, J.)

29th April, 2026
Prashant. Cp-2

Uploaded on 08.05.2026