

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
I.A. No. 4976 of 2026

In
Cr. Appeal (DB) No. 468 of 2026

Anwar Ansari **Appellant**
Versus
The State of Jharkhand **Respondent**

With
I.A. No. 7579 of 2026
In
Cr. Appeal (DB) No. 492 of 2026

Salim Ansari **Appellant**
Versus
The State of Jharkhand **Respondent**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR RAI

For the Appellant :Mr. Kaushik Sarkhel, Advocate
(In Cr. Appeal (DB) No. 468 of 2026)
: Mr. Ashutosh Pd. Joshi, Advocate
(In Cr. Appeal (DB) No. 492 of 2026)
For the Res.-State :Mr. Subodh Kumar Dubey, A.P.P.
(In both these cases)

04/24.06.2026 Heard Mr. Kaushik Sarkhel, learned counsel for the appellant in I.A. No. 4976 of 2026 and Mr. Ashutosh Pd. Joshi, learned counsel for the appellant in I.A. No. 7579 of 2026 and learned A.P.P. appearing for the State.

Both these interlocutory applications have been preferred by the respective appellants for grant of bail to them during the pendency of these appeals.

The appellant in I.A. No. 4976 of 2026 has been convicted for the offence under Section 395 of I.P.C. and sentenced to undergo R.I. for a period of ten years along with a fine of Rs. 1,00,000/- and R.I. for a period of five years along with a fine of Rs. 20,000/- each for the offences under Sections 27/35 of the Arms Act. So far as the appellant in I.A. No. 7579 of 2026 is concerned, he has been convicted only under Section 395 of I.P.C. and has

been sentenced to undergo R.I. for ten years along with a fine of Rs. 1,00,000/-.

It has been alleged that while the informant, his driver and staff were returning from Jamtara after realizing his dues amounting to Rs. 11,00,000/-, on the way, one Scorpio vehicle and two motorcycles overtook the vehicle of the informant and firing was also made. It has been alleged that the accused persons had surrounded the car and thereafter looted Rs. 11,00,000/- on the point of pistol and had also looted away the mobiles of the occupants of the car.

Submission has been advanced by the learned counsel for the appellant in I.A. No. 4976 of 2026 that the appellant was not identified in the Test Identification Parade and the only incriminating factor against the appellant is the recovery of a country-made pistol, one live cartridge, Rs. 60,000/- in cash, a black bag and certain documents. It has been submitted that the appellant is in custody for almost three years.

Mr. Ashutosh Pd. Joshi, learned counsel for the appellant in I.A. No. 7579 of 2026, has submitted that the appellant was also not identified in the Test Identification Parade and an amount of Rs. 35,000/- was recovered from his possession, which led to his conviction.

Learned A.P.P. has opposed the prayer for bail of the appellants and has submitted that several of the co-convicts have been identified in the Test Identification Parade by the informant and others. He has admitted to the fact that the present appellants were not identified by the informant.

Regard being had to the fact that the only incriminating factor seems to be the recovery of some cash

from the houses of the respective appellants and they have not been identified in the Test Identification Parade, we are inclined to admit them on bail.

Accordingly, during the pendency of these appeals, both the appellants are directed to be released on bail on furnishing a bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties of the like amount each, to the satisfaction of the learned Principal Sessions Judge, Jamtara in connection with S.T. Case No. 80 of 2023 arising out of Original case record of Mihijam P.S. Case No. 54 of 2022 corresponding to G.R. Case No. 315 of 2022.

I.A. No. 4976 of 2026 and I.A. No. 7579 of 2026 stand disposed of.

(Rongon Mukhopadhyay, J.)

(Arun Kumar Rai, J.)

Umesh-Abhishek/-