

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Cr. Appeal (DB) No. 521 of 2023

1. Narayan Prasad @ Tejnarayan Prasad	----	---	
2. Ganpat Prasad	----	---	Appellants
Versus			
The State of Jharkhand	---	---	Respondent

CORAM: **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rongon Mukhopadhyay**
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ambuj Nath

For the Appellant:	Mr. A.K. Kashyap, Sr. Advocate Mr. Anurag Kashyap, Advocate
For the Resp.-State:	Mr. V.S. Sahay, A.P.P.
For the Informant:	Mr. P.P.N. Roy, Sr. Advocate Mr. Shahdeo Choudhary, Advocate Mr. Mahesh Tewari, Advocate

I.A. No. 16148 of 2025

- 09 / 16.12.2025 Heard Mr. A.K. Kashyap, learned senior counsel for the Appellant No. 1 and the learned A.P.P. as well as Mr. P.P.N. Roy, learned senior counsel for the Informant.
2. The prayer for bail of the Appellant No. 1 was earlier dismissed as withdrawn in I.A. No. 7980 of 2024. Subsequent thereto, the Appellant No. 1 had once again moved for grant of bail in I.A. No. 4860 of 2025, which however was rejected vide order dated 09.05.2025.
3. Submission has been advanced by the learned senior counsel for the Appellant No. 1 that he is in custody since 06.12.2021. It has further been submitted there is case and counter case and the implication of the Appellant No. 1 is on account of a land dispute. It has further been submitted that three persons from the side of the Appellant No. 1 had also sustained injuries. Learned senior counsel adds that though the Appellant No. 1 is said to have been armed with axe and sword, but no sharp cut wounds were found on the person of the deceased which demolishes the case of the prosecution.
4. Learned A.P.P. as well as the learned senior counsel for the Informant have opposed the prayer for bail of the appellant no. 1.
5. It appears from the evidence of the witnesses that there has been assault made by both the appellants upon the deceased with the blunt portion of the weapon which they were holding which finds corroboration from the medical report.
6. In view of the aforesaid facts, we are not inclined to admit the Appellant

2.

No. 1 on bail. Accordingly, his prayer for bail stands rejected once again, at this stage.

7. The aforesaid I.A. stands rejected.

(Rongon Mukhopadhyay, J)

(Ambuj Nath, J)

December 16, 2025

Ranjeet/

23.12.2025