

(2026:JHHC:12445)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No. 3439 of 2026

Aslam Sah, aged about 38 years, S/o Late Jalil Sah, Resident of Village -Bhodiya, P.O. -Hansdiha, P.S. -Hansdiha, District -Dumka (Jharkhand).

	...	Petitioner
	Versus	
The State of Jharkhand	...	Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner	: Mr. Ranjan Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the State	: Mrs. Anuradha Sahay, Addl. P.P.

Order No.02 Dated- 28.04.2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has moved this Court for grant of bail in connection with Poraiyahat P.S. Case No.76 of 2025 registered for the offences punishable under sections 309(6) & 103(1) of the B.N.S., 2023 and under Section 27 of the Arms Act.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner was involved in commission of murder of Mahendra Tudu after commission of robbery and looting of cash of Rs.4,00,000/-. It is further submitted that the allegations against the petitioner are all false and the petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. It is next submitted that the only allegation against the petitioner is that he assisted in concealment of the arms used in the commission of the offence which was recovered on the confessional statement of the co-accused -Md. Muntaz Ansari @ Mumtaj Ansari @ Lakhpatiya and there is no allegation against the petitioner of committing murder himself. It is further submitted that the co-accused -Md. Muntaz Ansari @ Mumtaj Ansari @ Lakhpatiya has already been admitted to bail by a coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 15.09.2025 in B.A. No. 7886 of 2025. It is next submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 25.02.2026, as has been mentioned in paragraph no. 10 of the bail application. It is further

submitted that the petitioner undertakes to cooperate with the trial of the case and further undertakes that he will not annoy or disturb the informant or the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner be admitted to bail.

The learned Addl. P.P. opposes the prayer for bail.

Considering the submissions of the counsels and the fact as discussed above, I am inclined to enlarge the above-named petitioner on bail. Accordingly, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned S.D.J.M., Godda, in connection with Poraiyahat P.S. Case No.76 of 2025 with the condition that the petitioner will co-operate with the trial of the case and will furnish his mobile number and photocopy of the Aadhar Card with an undertaking that he will not change his mobile number during the trial of the case, with further condition that he will not annoy or disturb the informant or the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

28.04.2026
Sonu/