

(2026:JHHC:11478)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No. 3270 of 2026

Vikash Tiwari @ Vikas Nath Tiwari, aged about 36 years, son
of late Sambhu Nath Tiwari, resident of Patratu Basti, P.O. &
P.S.-Patratu, Dist.-Ramgarh ... Petitioner
Versus

The State of Jharkhand ... Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Hemant Kr. Shikarwar, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Abhay Kr. Tiwari, Addl. P.P.

Order No.02 Dated- 20.04.2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has moved this Court for grant of bail in connection with A.T.S. P.S. Case No.7 of 2024 (A.T.S Court Case No. 05 of 2025) registered for the offences punishable under sections 111 of the B.N.S., 2023.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner is the head of an organized gang of criminals involved in collecting extortion amount from the coal businessman, contractors by threatening them of dire consequences by way of extortion. It is next submitted that this case has been instituted on the basis of Rs.50,00,000/- cash recovered from the co-accused for which the co-accused could not furnish any explanation as also the co-accused namely Bajrang Kumar Thakur never filed any income tax return. It is also submitted that the petitioner is altogether involved in 21 cases; out of which in 13 cases he has been acquitted but he is still facing trial in 8 cases and in all of such cases, the petitioner is on bail. It is further submitted that the allegations against the petitioner are all false and there is an inordinate delay of seven days in lodging the FIR. It is next submitted that no witness has been examined against the petitioner as yet and since cash of Rs.50,00,000/- has been seized at Patna, there is no rhyme or reason for registration of this

case in the State of Jharkhand. It is then submitted that except the confessional statement before police, there is no material to implicate the petitioner in this case. It is lastly submitted that the co-accused person with similar allegation has already been admitted to bail by this Court vide order dated 20.12.2025 in B.A. No.11333 of 2025. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner be admitted to bail.

The learned Addl. P.P. on the other hand vehemently opposes the prayer for bail and submits that the petitioner is a hardened criminal which is crystal clear from the 21 cases instituted against him; of course in 13 of them he claimed to have been acquitted but still 8 cases are pending against him therefore, there is every chance of the petitioner absconding and tampering with evidence and also indulging in fresh cases of extortion, if released on bail. It is then submitted by learned Addl. P.P. that the petitioner is threat to law and order situation in the locality. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner ought not to be admitted to bail.

Considering the serious nature of allegation against the petitioner for being involved in organized crime of extortion of money from business man and contractors as well as his criminal antecedents and the chance of the petitioner absconding and tampering with evidence if released on bail, this Court is of the considered view that this is not a fit case where the abovenamed petitioner be admitted to bail at this stage. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the abovenamed petitioner is rejected.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)