

(2026:JHHC:11815)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No. 3219 of 2026

Anil Oraon, aged about 27 years, son of Sukra Oraon, R/o
Village Goke, P.O.+P.S. -Narkopi, District -Ranchi.

... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand

...

Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate

For the State : Mr. Shailesh Kr. Sinha, Addl. P.P.

Order No.02 Dated- 22.04.2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has moved this Court for grant of bail in connection with S.T. No. 07 of 2026 arising out of Bhandra P.S. Case No.75 of 2025 corresponding to G.R. Case No. 15 of 2026 registered for the offences punishable under sections 331(4)/305(a) of the B.N.S., 2023 whereby charge has been framed under Section 310(2) of the BNS, 2023.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner committed robbery and looted jewellerys and cash of Rs.80,000/- from the house of the informant. It is further submitted that the allegations against the petitioner are all false. It is next submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that though the petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. but he has been identified by the victim in the Test Identification Parade and some of the looted articles have been recovered from the house of the co-accused -Ajay Oraon. It is next submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 06.11.2025, as has been mentioned in paragraph no. 01 of the bail application. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner be admitted to bail.

The learned Addl. P.P. on the other hand vehemently opposes the prayer for bail and submits that keeping in view the fact that there is direct and specific allegation against the petitioner of

committing robbery and he has been identified by the victim in the Test Identification Parade, there is every chance of the petitioner absconding and tampering with the evidence, if released on bail. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner ought not to be admitted to bail.

Considering the serious nature of allegation against the petitioner and the chance of his absconding and tampering with the evidence, if released on bail, this Court is not inclined to admit the petitioner on bail.

Accordingly, the prayer for regular bail of the above-named petitioner is rejected.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

22.04.2026
Sonu/