

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

W.P.(S). No. 1857 of 2023

Kamlesh Rai

.....

Petitioner

Versus

State of Jharkhand & Ors.

.....

Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK ROSHAN

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Manoj Tandon, Advocate
For the Resp.-State	:	Mr. Sonal Tiwary, AC to AG
		Ms. Shreyashi Shekhar, Advocate
For the Resp.-JPSC	:	Mr. Pravin Kr. Pandey, Advocate

04/07.05.2026 It has been contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner, being appointed and working as Sub-Inspector, belongs to Sports Quota. He further submits that an advertisement was floated in the nature of limited examination for the government employees working in the State of Jharkhand for appointment to the post of Deputy Collector (Limited), in which a resolution was issued in the year 2007 and certain criteria was given in that resolution.

2. The case of the petitioner is that there was one more resolution dated 10.10.2002 and as such, the subsequent resolution of the year 2007 will not be applicable.

3. On the contrary, the counsel for the respondent-JPSC submits that it is true that there was a circular of the year 2002 but there were no such criteria for appointment to be given under reserved quote and therefore, the Commission has taken a decision to adopt the resolution of the year 2007.

4. When it was asked as to whether the Commission can *suo motu* ignore a resolution and adopt another resolution, he seeks adjournment to show the power of the Commission since JPSC is a constitutional body.

5. Accordingly, learned counsel for the respondent-JPSC shall address this Court on the next date by referring the power of the JPSC. The other arguments on merit will be considered on the next date of hearing.

6. List on 02.07.2026.

(Deepak Roshan, J.)