

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Cr. Appeal (DB) No. 468 of 2024

Aayan Kumar	----	---	Appellant
	Versus		
The State of Jharkhand	---	---	Respondent

CORAM: **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rongon Mukhopadhyay**
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ambuj Nath

For the Appellant:	M/s. R.S. Mazumdar, Sr. Advocate
	M/s. Naveen Kumar, Advocate
For the Resp.-State:	M/s. Satish Prasad, A.P.P.
For the Informant	M/s. Gaurav Priyadarshi, Advocate

I.A. No. 4961 of 2025

- 04 / 28.04.2025 Heard Mr. R.S. Mazumdar, learned Senior counsel for the appellant and Mr. Satish Prasad, learned A.P.P. assisted by Mr. Gaurav Priyadarshi, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant.
2. This application has been preferred by the appellant for grant of bail during the pendency of this appeal.
3. The appellant has been convicted for the offences punishable under Section 376 of the I.P.C and Section 4 of the POCSO Act. and has been sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for ten years alongwith a fine of Rs. 20,000/-, for the offence under Section 4 of the POCSO Act.
4. The allegation reveals that the victim being the prosecutrix is said to have been raped by the appellant.
5. Submission has been advanced by the learned Senior counsel for the appellant that the appellant is in custody since 07.04.2021.
6. Learned counsel for the informant as well as learned A.P.P. have opposed the prayer for bail of the appellant.
7. The evidence of the victim has also supported the manner of occurrence in which the appellant had committed rape upon her. The F.S.L. report also strengthens this fact.
8. On consideration of the aforesaid facts, we are not inclined to grant bail of the appellant. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the appellant stands rejected at this stage.
9. The aforesaid I.A. stands rejected.

(Rongon Mukhopadhyay, J)

(Ambuj Nath, J)