

[2026:JHHC:12230]

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No.3425 of 2026

Manish Machhuwa, aged about 23 years, son of Mangal Machhuwa,
resident of Gadra, Near Pani Tanki, P.O. & P.S.- Parsudih, District-
East Singhbhum, Jharkhand.

... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand Opposite Party

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Ms. Supriya Dayal, Advocate

For the State : Mr. Tarun Kumar, Addl.P.P

Order No.02 Dated- 24-04-2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has been made accused in connection with S.T. Case No. 531 of 2024, arising out of Parsudih P.S. Case No. 109 of 2024 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 103(1), 61(2) and 3(5) and Section 25(1-B)(a) of B.N.S., 2023 & 27 of the Arms Act.

This is the second journey of the petitioner with the prayer for regular bail, as earlier the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner was rejected on merits vide order dated 06.08.2025 in B.A. No.6438 of 2025.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the fresh ground is that charge has been framed against the petitioner and he has remained in custody for some more time. It is next submitted that the petitioner undertakes that he will co-operate with the trial of the case. It is lastly submitted that the petitioner has been in custody for a considerable period of time. Hence it is submitted that the petitioner be admitted to bail.

Learned Addl.P.P. on the hand vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner has been in custody for some more time cannot be a sufficient ground to reconsider the regular bail of the petitioner, as earlier the prayer for bail of the petitioner was rejected on merit. It is therefore submitted that the petitioner ought not be released on bail.

Considering the serious nature of allegation against the petitioner and as his prayer for regular was earlier rejected on merits, this Court is not inclined to admit the petitioner on bail at this stage only on the ground that he has remained in custody for some more time. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the above-named petitioner is rejected for same reasons as mentioned in B.A. No.6438 of 2025 order dated 06.08.2025.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

24/04/2026

Amar/