

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No. 3620 of 2026

Rup Chand Mandi @ Simal S/o Mangal Mandi ... **Petitioner**
Versus
The State of Jharkhand **Opp. Party**

CORAM :HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Anil Kumar Ganjhu, Advocate
For the Opp. Party : Mr. Shashi Kumar Verma, APP

04/29.04.2026 Heard the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody in connection with Chakulia P.S. Case No. 10 of 2025 for the offences registered under Sections 126(2)/ 333/ 115(2)/ 118/ 118(3)/109/351(2) of the B.N.S. 2023, pending in the court of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Ghatsila.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in custody since 17.02.2026. Charge sheet has already been submitted. He has further submitted that the injury was simple in nature.

4. Learned counsel for the opposite party-State has opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is direct allegation against the petitioner that he entered into the house of the victim and assaulted her in order to kill her and cut her tongue by *katrari* and when she tried to save herself, the petitioner assaulted her with lathi and the victim suffered injury in her hand also. It has also been mentioned that the victim is in hospital for treatment in Jhargrama, West Bengal and her situation is serious.

5. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and considering direct nature of allegation and the manner in which the offence is alleged to have been committed, this court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. Accordingly, prayer for bail of the petitioner above named is rejected.

6. Let this order be communicated to the court concerned through FAX/e-mail.

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)

Dated: 29.04.2026

Uploaded on: 30.04.2026

Binit/