

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

W.P.(C) No. 1510 of 2021

Prakash Munjal & Others

..... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Jharkhand & Others

..... Respondents

With

W.P.(C) No. 1791 of 2021

Prakash Munjal & Others

..... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Jharkhand & Others

..... Respondents

CORAM

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH SHANKAR

For the Petitioners:

Mr. Prashant Pallav

For the Respondents:

Mr. Mohan Kr. Dubey, A.C to A.G

Mr. Amritansh Vats

09/19.03.2025 It has been reported by the office that the notices issued to the newly substituted respondent Nos. 9(a) to 9(f) of W.P.(C) No. 1510/2021 have validly been served to them.

2. It has further been reported by the office that the notices issued to the newly substituted respondent Nos. 4(a) to 4(f) of W.P.(C) No. 1791/2021 have also been served to them.

3. Service of notice upon the said respondents is thus complete.

4. Mr. Amritansh Vats, Advocate, submits that he has filed Vakalatnama on behalf of the respondent Nos. 9(b) & 9(c) of W.P.(C) No. 1510/2021 and the respondent Nos. 4(b) & 4(c) of W.P.(C) No. 1791/2021. He further submits that since the respondent No.9(a)-Salo Devi of W.P.(C) No. 1510/2021 was in judicial custody, he has not been able to get the Vakalatnama from her. It is also submitted that so far as the respondent Nos. 9(d), 9(e) & 9(f) of W.P.(C) No. 1510/2021 as well as the respondent Nos. 4(d), 4(e) & 4(f) of W.P.(C) No. 1791/2021 are concerned, they being the minors are to be represented through their mother-Salo Devi i.e. the respondent No. 9(a) of W.P.(C) No. 1510/2021

and the respondent No. 4(a) of W.P.(C) No. 1791/2021. He accordingly prays for a short adjournment to seek instruction as to whether Salo Devi has come out of the judicial custody and if so, to file Vakalatnama on her behalf.

5. In view of the said prayer, put up these cases after three weeks under the same heading.

I.A. No. 4975/2021 [W.P.(C) No. 1510/2021]

6. The present interlocutory application was filed on behalf of the petitioners on 10.09.2021 for directing the parties to maintain status-quo over the property in question.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in view of the interim order dated 21.05.2021 passed in W.P.(C) No. 1791/2021, he does not press the present interlocutory application.

8. The present interlocutory application is accordingly dismissed as not pressed.

Satish/-

(RAJESH SHANKAR, J)