

.... **Petitioner(s)/Applicant(s)**
Versus

Opposite Party

For the Petitioner(s)/Applicant(s) : Mr. Jitendra Shankar Singh, Adv.
: Ms. Sanskriti Shalini, Adv.
For the State : Mr. Suraj Deo Munda, A.P.P.

1. Heard learned counsel for the applicant and learned counsel for the State.
2. The applicant, who is in custody since 28.09.2022, has renewed his prayer for grant of regular bail in connection with S.T Case No.17 of 2023, arising out of Golmuri P.S. Case No.118 of 2022, corresponding to G.R. Case No.2011 of 2022, registered for the offence under Sections 324, 326, 307, 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act, pending in the court of learned Additional Sessions Judge-I, Jamshedpur.
3. The prayer for bail of this applicant was earlier rejected by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, vide order dated 30.07.2025 passed in B.A. No.7720 of 2024.
4. It has been submitted by the learned counsel for the applicant(s) that complete set of FIR along with its enclosure have been annexed with this bail application and there is no suppression on his/her part.
5. The prayer for bail of this applicant was earlier rejected by this Court vide order dated 25.07.2023 passed in B.A. No.2296 of 2023.
6. Innocence has been claimed by the learned counsel for the applicant and undertaking has been given for participation in the trial. It has been submitted that the only allegation against this applicant is based on identification through CCTV Footage. P.W.8 namely, Santosh Choudhary is the concerned witness, who has been declared hostile. Thus, there is no other material against this applicant. On the above basis, prayer for bail has been made.
7. Learned counsel for the State has opposed the prayer for bail.

8. A report has been submitted by the learned Trial Court and from perusal of the same, it appears that out of twenty eight charge-sheet witnesses, only twenty witness have been examined.

9. Considering the materials available on record, I am not inclined to enlarge the applicant on bail. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the applicant is hereby, **rejected** once again, ***at this stage***.

10. If the trial is not concluded within four months, then the applicant is at liberty to renew his prayer for bail.

(Rajesh Kumar, J.)

Dated 08th May, 2026
Raja/Uploaded on 11.05.2026