

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

W.P.(S) No. 4269 of 2018

Hari Narayan Prasad Petitioner
Versus
The State of Jharkhand and others Respondents

With

W.P.(S) No. 857 of 2010

Chandrashekhar Prasad Sinha and others Petitioners
Versus
Sahibganj Municipality and others Respondents

With

W.P.(S) No. 7009 of 2013

Ashok Kumar Mishra Petitioner
Versus
The State of Jharkhand and others Respondents

With

W.P.(S) No. 1479 of 2019

Ramjan Mian and another Petitioners
Versus
The State of Jharkhand and others Respondents

With

W.P.(S) No. 7265 of 2019

Ram Naresh Sharma Petitioner
Versus
Jharkhand Mineral Area Development
Authority and others Respondents

With

W.P.(S) No. 1621 of 2020

Narsingh Prasad Singh and others Petitioners
Versus
The State of Jharkhand and others Respondents

With

W.P.(S) No.712 of 2021

Radha Raman Singh Petitioner
Versus
The State of Jharkhand and others Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUJIT NARAYAN PRASAD**

For the Petitioner(s): M/s Rajeev Ranjan Tiwary, Sudhanshu
Shekhar Choudhary, Onkar Nath Tiwary, Amit
Kumar Tiwari, Naiyar Eqbal, Baibhaw Gahlaut,
Advocates
For the Respondents: M/s. Rahul Saboo (G.P.-II), Gaurang Jajodia
(A.C. to G.P.-II), Manish Mishra (G.P.-V),
Varsha Ramsisaria (A.C. to G.P.-V), Kishore
Kumar Singh (S.C.-V), Rishi Chandan (A.C. to
S.C.-V), Manoj Kumar (G.A.-III), Krishna
Murari, Rajesh Kumar, Ranjit Kumar,
Advocates

Oral Order

09/Dated: 10.11.2022

Let the State come up with an affidavit giving details as to how
many State properties are existing in the Palamau Zila Parisad Area

2.

as source of income and whether the *Balughat* and other sources of financial income have already been transferred to the Zila Parisad or the State is keeping all the sources of income?

We are asking these details from the State for the reason that a stand has been taken on affidavit that the Zila Parishad is a statutory body and the salary, pension and retiral dues of its employees are the responsibility and liability of the Zila Parishad. Question would arise whether it is not the duty of the State to make Zila Parishad sufficiently monetarily equipped so that it be in a position to maintain itself and its employees and whether sufficient source of income has already been transferred in terms of the Panchayat Raj Act, 2001 by the State to the Zila Parishad?

If all the sources of income would be kept with the State and the Zila Parishad will have only a meager source of income, then it would be impossible for it to maintain itself and give salary, pension and retiral dues to its employees. This question is essentially required to be answered for the reason that the State is duty-bound to ensure working of the three-tier democratic system which has been evolved by our Constitution.

The second question which is to be answered by the State is as to why it is not providing sufficient grant and loan to the Palamau Zila Parishad so that necessary payment could be made with respect to the salary, pension and retiral dues to its employees.

Put up these cases on 01.12.2022.

(Dr. Ravi Ranjan, C.J.)

(Sujit Narayan Prasad, J.)