

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Cr. Appeal (DB) No. 831 of 2025

Odeya Surin	...	Appellant
Versus		
The State of Jharkhand	...	Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRADEEP KUMAR SRIVASTAVA

For the Appellant	: Mr. Parwez Ahmad Khan, Advocate
For the State	: Mr. Pankaj Kumar, P.P.

03/15.09.2025

I.A. No. 9815 of 2025

Heard the learned counsel for the respective parties.

This application has been preferred by the appellant for grant of bail to him during the pendency of this appeal.

The appellant has been convicted for the offences under section 4(2) of the POCSO Act and section 376(3) of the IPC and has been sentenced to undergo RI for 20 years along with a fine of Rs. 20,000/- for the offence under section 4(2) of the POCSO Act.

It has been alleged that when the victim had gone to attend the call for nature, the appellant had caught hold of her and after committing rape upon her had tried to drown her in the pond.

Submission has been advanced by the learned counsel for the appellant that the appellant has falsely been implicated in the present case and is in custody since long.

Learned P.P. has opposed the prayer for bail of the appellant and while referring to the evidence of the victim, who has been examined as PW-9, has stated that it was the appellant who had committed rape upon her which finds support from the medical report. He has further stated that age of the victim has been assessed to be between 13-14 years.

Regard being had to the medical report as well as the evidence of PW-9, we are not inclined to admit the appellant on bail. His prayer for bail is hereby rejected.

I.A. stands rejected.

(RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY, J.)

(PRADEEP KUMAR SRIVASTAVA, J.)

Dated: **15.09.2025**
S.B.