

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Cr. Appeal (DB) No. 786 of 2025

Ramesh Baski	...	Appellant
Versus		
The State of Jharkhand	...	Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRADEEP KUMAR SRIVASTAVA

For the Appellant	: Mr. Ashutosh Pd. Joshi, Advocate
For the State	: A.P.P.

09/13.04.2026

I.A. No. 4900 of 2026

Heard Mr. Ashutosh Pd. Joshi, the learned counsel for the appellant and the learned A.P.P.

The prayer for bail of the appellant was earlier dismissed as withdrawn in I.A. No. 8930 of 2025.

It has been alleged that when the victim was alone in her home the appellant had entered and had committed rape upon her.

It has been submitted by the learned counsel for the appellant that from the medical report it appears that no sign of rape was found. It has further been submitted that the victim is in the habit of filing false cases at the instigation of her parents, as earlier also she had filed a case under section 307 of the Indian Penal Code against her grandfather. Learned counsel submits that the appellant is in custody since long.

Learned A.P.P. has opposed the prayer for bail of the appellant.

The victim-informant has been examined as PW-1 and she has categorically stated about the manner in which the appellant had entered into her room when she was alone and had subjected her to rape. The incident is said to have taken place on 27.02.2023 and the victim was examined on 03.03.2023 and it is quite natural that no signs of commission of rape could have been found in the person of the victim due to such delay.

Regard being had to the evidence of PW-1 and the other surrounding circumstances, we are not inclined to admit the appellant on bail. His prayer for bail is hereby rejected.

I.A. stands rejected.

(RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY, J.)

(PRADEEP KUMAR SRIVASTAVA, J.)

13.04.2026

S.B. Uploaded on 16.04.2026