

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

Cont. Case (Civil) No. 266 of 2023

Smt. Moti Devi, aged about 73 years, widow of Late B.M. Chaudhary, resident of Ashirwad Nagar, New Sharma Line, Old Ulidih, Dimna Road, Mango, P.O. and P.S. Mango, Town Jamshedpur, District East Singhbhum. ... .. **Petitioner**

Versus

- 1. The State of Jharkhand
- 2. Prof. Jayant Shekhar, son of not known to the petitioner, Registrar, Kolhan University, Chaibasa, having its office at Chaibasa, P.O. & P.S. Chaibasa, District West Singhbhum
- 3. Sri Akshay Kumar Singh, the Secretary, JPSC, S/o not known to the petitioner having office at Lalpur, P.O. & P.S. Lalpur, District – Ranchi
- 4. The Registrar, Ranchi University Ranchi, having its office at Sahid Chowk, P.O. GPO, P.S. – Kotwali, District Ranchi

... .. **Opposite Parties**

---

CORAM :HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

---

|                            |                                        |
|----------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner         | : Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate           |
|                            | : Mr. Mayank Deep, Advocate            |
| For the JPSC               | : Mr. Sanjoy Piprawall, Advocate       |
|                            | : Mr. Prince Kumar, Advocate           |
| For the State              | : Mr. Apoorva Singh, AC to SC Mines II |
| For the Kolhan University: | Dr. Ashok Kumar Singh, Advocate        |
| For the Ranchi University: | Mr. Amit Kr. Sinha, Advocate           |
|                            | : Mr. Shubham Malviya, Advocate        |

---

38/17.04.2026 This petition has been filed for initiation of a contempt proceeding against the opposite party no.2 for their alleged willful non-compliance of order dated 10.06.2022 passed in W.P.(S) No. 667 of 2019.

- 2. The learned counsel for the opposite party has submitted that compliance report has been filed and admissible amount has been remitted to the petitioner.
- 3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there is some error with respect to fixation of pay scale, and accordingly, liberty be reserved with the petitioner to take steps as per law.

4. Considering the submissions, this Court is not inclined to proceed any further in this contempt proceeding. Accordingly, this contempt proceeding is dropped.

5. However, liberty is reserved with the petitioner to take steps in connection with further development as may be permissible under law.

6. Pending interlocutory application, if any, is dismissed as not pressed.

**(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)**

*Dated: 17.04.2026*  
*Uploaded on : 21.04.2026*  
*Saurav/-*