

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
A.B.A. No. 3661 of 2025

Kailashi Devi, W/o Prasadi Ravidas, R/o Singhpur, Dadpur, P.O.- Chauparan, P.S.
Chauparan, Dist- Hazaribagh, Jharkhand

.... Petitioner(s).

Versus

The State of Jharkhand

... Opp. Party(s)

CORAM : SRI ANANDA SEN, J.

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. Soumitra Baroi, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Praful JoJo, Addl. P.P.

.....

05/ 10.07.2025: Defect(s) as pointed by the Office is ignored for the present.

2. Heard, learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the State.

3. This is an application filed by the petitioner praying for grant of anticipatory bail in terms of under Sections 482 and 484 of the Bhartiya Nagrik Surksha Sanhita, 2023, apprehending her arrest for offences under Sections 341, 323, 325, 326, 307 and 34 of IPC.

4. The learned APP opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

5. Para 2 of the petition is quoted hereinbelow-

“That the petitioner has earlier moved this Hon’ble Court in A.B.A. No.2424 of 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail and the same was dismissed as withdrawn vide order dated 17.01.2024 by Hon’ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Kumar and thereafter, the petitioner moved before this Hon’ble Court in A.B.A. No.2158 of 2024 and the same was dismissed as withdrawn vide order dt. 17.05.2024 by Hon’ble Mr. Justice Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi and thereafter no other application/ petition has been filed by the petitioner including Regular Bail Application, Anticipatory Bail Application, Criminal Miscellaneous Petition, Criminal Writ, Criminal Revision etc. which is opening or disposed of by this Hon’ble Court arising out of the present F.I.R.”

6. This is 3rd attempt of the petitioner for anticipatory bail. The case was withdrawn before two different Benches on 17.01.2024, again on 17.05.2024, again this petitioner has moved. Withdrawing of the cases clearly suggest that the Court was not inclined to grant anticipatory bail

and that is why the case have been withdrawn. This is nothing but a Bench hunting, which is taken seriously by this Court and is deprecated to the highest extent, this case is **dismissed** with a cost of Rs.1,000/- to be paid by the petitioner to the JHALSA, if it is not paid within a month, the same needs to be recovered under the Public Demands Recovery Act.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner in connection with Chouparan P.S. Case No.360 of 2022, pending in the Court of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Hazaribagh, stands rejected.

(ANANDA SEN, J.)

R.S./