

B.A. No. 3604 of 2026

Sunil Aind, son of late Vijay Aind	...	...	Petitioner
Versus			
The State of Jharkhand	...	...	Opposite Party

CORAM :HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Vishnupad Singh, Advocate
For the Opp. Party : Mr. Sardhu Mahto, APP

04/29.04.2026 Heard the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 26.12.2024 in connection with S.T. Case No.83 of 2025, P.S. Case No.13/2024, registered under Sections 103 (1) and 351 (2) and (3) of BNS and $\frac{3}{4}$ of Prevention of Witch Craft Act, now pending in the court of learned Additional Sessions Judge 1 – cum - Special Judge, Gumla.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in custody since 26.12.2024 and the trial has not yet completed.

4. The learned counsel for the State has opposed the prayer and has submitted that all witnesses except the I.O. have been examined. He submits that the State shall ensure that the I.O. is examined on the next date.

5. However, the petitioner is not aware of the next date in the matter.

6. After hearing the learned counsels for the parties and considering the serious nature of allegation and that the trial is at fag end, this Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. Hence,

this bail application is rejected.

7. However, the State is directed to ensure that the remaining witness be promptly produced before the court so that the trial itself is concluded.

8. The learned counsel for the State is directed to communicate this order to the Director, Prosecution and also the Superintendent of Police of the concerned district to ensure compliance.

9. Let a copy of this order be communicated to the learned court concerned through “FAX/email”.

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)

Date of Order: 29.04.2026

Saurav

Date of Uploading: 30.04.2026