

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND, RANCHI**  
**A.B.A. No. 2183 of 2026**

----

1. Divyendu Bhardwaj, aged about 41 years, son of Late Om Sharma
2. Komal @ Komal Sharma, aged about 31 years, wife of Divyendu Bhardwaj,  
Both are resident of M-24, Sanjay Nagar, Sector-23, PO and PS  
– Sanjay Nagar, District – Ghazibad, State - UP

**.... Petitioners**

-- Versus --

The State of Jharkhand

**.... Opposite Party**

----

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI**

---

For the Petitioners :- Mr. Gautam Kumar, Advocate  
For the State :- Mrs. Malsi Pathak, Advocate  
For the Informant :- Mr. Lukesh Kumar, Advocate

----

**02/07.05.2026** Heard learned counsel appearing for the petitioners, learned counsel appearing for the State and learned counsel appearing for the Informant.

**2.** The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Chira Chas P.S. Case No.14 of 2025, for the alleged offences registered under Sections 316(2), 318(4), 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 pending in the Court of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bokaro.

**3.** Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that there was agreement to supply certain articles between Shubham Bharadwaj and the informant. He further submits that allegations

are made that supply has been made for more than Rs.2 crores and the payment was not made and for that the FIR has been lodged. He then submits that it is also alleged that Shubham Bharadwaj has issued four cheques and when the informant went to the bank, the bank found that there is insufficient fund and thereafter the FIR has been registered. He next submits that so far these petitioners are concerned they are only brother and sister-in-law of Shubham Bharadwaj and only because they are family member of Shubham Bharadwaj they have been implicated in this case.

**4.** Learned counsel appearing for the State and Informant jointly opposed the prayer and submits that intention to cheat from the very beginning is there and in view of that anticipatory bail may kindly be rejected.

**5.** Considering that for recovery of the said amount FIR has been lodged that too in a situation when four cheques were issued by one Shubham Bharadwaj and when it was informed by bank that there is insufficient amount in the account of Shubham Bharadwaj the petitioner has chosen to register FIR instead of complaint under Section 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act and further the petitioners are only related with Shubham Bharadwaj. In the attending facts and circumstances of this case, I am inclined to provide anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

**6.** Accordingly, the petitioners, above named, are hereby directed to surrender before the learned Court within three weeks

from today, and in the event of their surrender/arrest, the petitioners, above named, shall be released on bail, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) each, with two sureties of the like amount each, to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bokaro in connection with Chira Chas P.S. Case No.14 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

**(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)**

*Dated 07.05.2026  
Sangam/*