

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

WP(S) No. 204 of 2017

(Prakash Ranjan Vs. the State of Jharkhand and Ors.)

With

WP(S) No. 6022 of 2016

(Anjani Sahay Vs. the State of Jharkhand and Ors.)

With

WP(S) No. 196 of 2017

(Srinivas Shahdeo @ Srinivas Vs. the State of Jharkhand and Ors.)

With

WP(S) No. 205 of 2017

(Sandeep Kumar Pathak Vs. the State of Jharkhand and Ors.)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANANDA SEN.

For the petitioner(s):

Mr. Abhay Kr. Mishra, Advocate.

For the respondent(s):

M/s Vandana Singh, Sr. S.C.-III

Rashmi Lal, AC to Sr.S.C.-III

Ravi Kumar Singh, Advocate.

08/01.11.2022: The respondent-JHARCRAFT is admittedly a Government of Jharkhand undertaking. A State undertaking comes within the ambit of Article 12 of the Constitution of India. Surprisingly, their Articles of Association mandates that there shall not be permanent employee(s) of the Corporation. This is very strange. A Government of Jharkhand undertaking which itself is a State within the meaning of Article 12 of the Constitution and its entire business is running without any permanent employees.

Prima facie, this Court feels that this provision of running a corporation, which is a State within meaning of Article 12 of the Constitution without appointing any permanent employees is not warranted. State is directed to address on this issue.

List this case after four weeks.