

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI**

**F.A. No. 9 of 2014**

**Central Coalfields Limited Vs. Kalia Manjhi & Ors.**

**With**

**F.A. No. 10 of 2014**

**Union of India Vs. Kalia Manjhi & Anr.**

**With**

**F.A. No. 11 of 2014**

**Union of India Vs. Mahesh Manjhi & Ors.**

**With**

**F.A. No. 12 of 2014**

**Union of India through Chief of Revenue....Appellant**

**Verus**

- 1. Birsha Manjhi**
- 2. Charan Manjhi**
- 3. Budhan Manjhi**
- 4. Dugwa Manjhi**
- 5. Ganesh Manjhi**
- 6. Munsu Manjhi**
- 7. Gosai Manjhi**
- 8. Sunja Manjhi**
- 9. Jhani Manjhi**
- 10. Mahadeo Manjhi**
- 11. Fagu Manjhi**
- 12. Perudey Manjhi**
- 13. Desilal Manjhi**
- 14. Bijui Manjhi**

**.... Respondents**

**With**

**F.A. No. 13 of 2014**

**Union of India Vs. Karma Manjhi & Ors.**

**With**

**F.A. No. 14 of 2014**

**Union of India Vs. Sonu Manjhi & Ors**

**With**

**F.A. No. 15 of 2014**

**Union of India Vs. Hopan Manjhi & Ors.**

**With**

**F.A. No. 16 of 2014**

**Union of India through Chief of Revenue....Appellant**

**Verus**

- 1. Jurga Manjhi**
- 2. Durga Manjhi**

**.... Respondents**

**With**

**F.A. No. 17 of 2014**

**Union of India Vs. Sukhram Manjhi & Ors.**

**With**

**F.A. No. 18 of 2014**

**Union of India Vs. Ratni Devi & Anr.**  
**With**  
**F.A. No. 19 of 2014**  
**Union of India Vs. Shyam Manjhi & Anr.**  
**With**  
**F.A. No. 22 of 2014**  
**Union of India Vs. Lalji Manjhi**  
**With**  
**F.A. No. 23 of 2014**  
**Union of India Vs. Barhan Manjhi**  
**With**  
**F.A. No. 24 of 2014**  
**Union of India Vs. Surjan Manjhi**  
**With**  
**F.A. No. 25 of 2014**  
**Union of India Vs. Ramlal Manjhi & Ors.**

-----  
**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRASAD**  
-----

For the Appellants : Ms. Swati Shalini, Advocate  
For the State : Mr. Nawal Kishor Pandey, AC to SC (L & C) -I  
For the Pvt. Resp. : Mr. Nanhu Ram, Advocate  
.....

**28/06.05.2026**

**F.A. No. 12 of 2014**

1. As per the note date dated 05.05.2026, it has been pointed out by the office that the requisites etc. for issuance of notice upon the respondent no. 8 filed, but the same could not be issued as the case was dismissed for default for non-compliance of the order dated 22.11.2019 and hence, the office has been pointed out that a direction may be given for issuance of notice upon the respondent no. 8.
2. It has also been noticed that vide order dated 07.11.2019 by the Co-ordinate Bench (Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi) of this Court, notice issued upon respondent nos. 1 to 7, 9, 10, 12 to 14 have already been served.
3. Under the circumstances, office is directed to issue

notice upon the respondent no. 8 forthwith as requisites have already been filed in the office.

4. Put up these cases after four weeks.

**(Sanjay Prasad, J.)**

Dated 06.05.2026  
Kamlesh/