

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
F.A. No. 16 of 2014

Union of India through Chief of Revenue, Central Coalfields Ltd. through its CMD			...	Appellant
	Versus			
Jurga Manjhi and Anr.			...	Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI
For the Appellants :Mr. Amit Kr. Das, Advocate
For the Respondents :

13/ 16.03.2026: I.A. No. 3278 of 2026 has been filed for substitution of respondent no.2.

2. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the name of legal heirs and successors of respondent no.2 was not known and within time in the first appeal appropriate petition was not filed and in view of that First Appeal has been dismissed for default and thereafter C.M.P has been filed in which the learned counsel for the respondent no.2 has appeared and on his no objection said substitution was allowed in the C.M.P thereafter the First Appeal was restored to its original file. He submits in view of that substitution petition has been filed which may kindly be allowed and the name of legal heirs and successors of respondent no.2 is disclosed in para 17 of the said I.A.

3. Learned counsel for the respondent no.2 submits that he is in receipt of the vakalatnma on behalf of legal heirs and successors of the respondent no.2 and he has filed vakalatnama in course of day. He has got no serious objection if the substitution petition is allowed.

4. In view of such submissions, prayer made in I.A. is allowed. I.A. No. 3278 of 2026 stands disposed of.

5. Learned counsel for the appellant shall make out necessary correction with regard to legal heirs and successors of respondent no.2 in course of day.

6. Learned counsel for the respondents seeks three weeks time for

filing reply to the I.A. No.10479/2019 meant for condonation of delay.

7. Time, as prayed for, is allowed.

Dt.16.03.2026

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

satyarthi-