

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No.3381 of 2026

-
1. Ankit Mukhi, aged about 23 years, son of Kalidas Mukhi, resident of H.No. 22, Road No. 4, Farm Area, P.O. & P.S.- Kadma, Town- Jamshedpur, District- East Singhbhum;
 2. Aditya Mukhi, aged about 22 years, son of Sudhir Mukhi, resident of Q. No. 18, L4, Tansa Road, P.O. & P.S.- Kadma, Town- Jamshedpur, District- East Singhbhum.

.... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Jharkhand Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioners : Mr. Vikas Kumar, Advocate

For the State : Mr. Subodh Kr. Dubey, Addl.P.P.

Order No:-02 Dated:-23-04-2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioners have been made accused in connection with Kadma P.S. Case No. 01 of 2026 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 281, 125(b), 109(1), 132, 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023 and Sections 134(A), 184, 187 of the Motor Vehicle Act, 1988.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the allegation against the petitioners is that the petitioners were in their Swift Dzire Car going at high speed and tried to hit the police officers including the police constables and the said car came again after five minutes and intentionally hit constable namely Hira Lal Mahato by which Hira Lal Mahato fell down on the ground and sustained injury and his both legs were fractured and Hira Lal Mahato also sustained injury on his shoulder. It is next submitted that the allegation against the petitioner is false and when the petitioners were apprehended, SIM Card was seized from the driver of the vehicle. It is then submitted that neither of the petitioners is the driver of the vehicle rather the driver of the vehicle was admittedly Amandip Singh. It is next submitted that the petitioners have been in custody since

03.02.2026 as mentioned in para-20 of the instant bail application. It is further submitted that the petitioners have no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para-13 of the bail application. It is lastly submitted that the petitioners undertake to co-operate with the trial of the case and also undertake not to annoy or disturb the informant or the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case and further undertake not to indulge in any offence, while on bail, in any manner during the trial of the case. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioners be released on bail.

Learned counsel appearing for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioners.

Considering the facts of this case, the above-named petitioners are directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned S.D.J.M., Jamshedpur in connection with Kadma P.S. Case No. 01 of 2026 **with the condition that they will co-operate with the trial of the case and furnish their mobile numbers and photocopy of the Aadhar Cards in the court below with an undertaking that they will not change their mobile number during the trial of the case and they will not annoy or disturb the informant or the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case and they will not indulge in any offence, while on bail, in any manner during the trial of the case.**

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)