

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No.3103 of 2026

Angad Mukhi, aged about 19 years, son of Dilip Mukhi, resident of
Babudih Basti, Lal Bhatta, P.O. & P.S.- Sidhgora, Town-
Jamshedpur, District- East Singhbhum.

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Sourav Kumar, Advocate
Mr. Agnivesh, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Tarun Kumar, Addl.P.P.

Order No:-03 Dated:-04-05-2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has been made accused in connection with
Sidhgora P.S. Case No. 144 of 2025 registered for the offences
punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 109(2), 61(2) of the
B.N.S., 2023 and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation
against the petitioner is that the petitioner has been implicated in this
case for having committed the murder of Deepak Vihar. It is next
submitted that the allegation against the petitioner is false. It is then
submitted that there is specific allegation against Ashok Dubey of
shooting dead Deepak Vihar. It is also submitted that the petitioner is
not named in the F.I.R. and without putting the petitioner under T.I.
Parade as mentioned in para-2 of the supplementary affidavit, charge
sheet has been submitted against him. It is further submitted that the
petitioner has been in custody since 12.11.2025 as is evident from
para-29 of the instant bail application. It is further submitted that the
petitioner has no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para-27 of the
instant bail application. It is next submitted that the co-accused, with
similar allegations, has already been admitted to bail by a Co-ordinate
Bench of this Court vide order dated 01.04.2026 passed in B.A. No.

1582 of 2026. It is lastly submitted that the petitioner undertakes to co-operate with the trial of the case and also undertakes not to annoy or disturb the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner be released on bail.

Learned counsel appearing for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

Considering the facts of this case, the above-named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate-1st Class, Jamshedpur in connection with Sidhgora P.S. Case No. 144 of 2025 with the condition that he will co-operate with the trial of the case and furnish his mobile number and photocopy of the Aadhar Card in the court below with an undertaking that he will not change his mobile number during the trial of the case and he will not annoy or disturb the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

Dated:- 04.05.2026
Saroj/