

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI**

**Cr. Appeal (D.B) No.466 of 2026**

Ramesh Besra ... Appellant

Versus

The State of Jharkhand ... Respondent

-----

**Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR RAI**

-----

For the Appellant : Mr. Vikash Kumar, Advocate

For the State : Mr. S.K. Tiwari, Spl. P.P.

-----

**Order No.04/Dated: 07.08.2026**

**I.A. No.6669 of 2026**

1. Heard Mr. Vikash Kumar, learned counsel for the appellant and learned Spl. P.P.
2. This application has been preferred by the appellant for grant of bail to him during the pendency of this appeal.
3. The appellant has been convicted for the offences under Section 376(1) I.P.C. and Section 4(1) of the POCSO Act as well as Section 66E of the IT Act and has been sentenced to R.I. for 20 years along with a fine of Rs. 25,000/- for the offence under Section 4(1) of the POCSO Act.
4. It has been alleged that appellant had developed acquaintance with the informant over phone and on

23.06.2022, he had asked her to come to Lodhasholi in Chakulia from where she was taken to the jungle and was subjected to rape.

5. It has also been alleged that obscene videos and photographs were recorded by the appellant.

6. Submission has been advanced by the learned counsel for the appellant that none of the photos or videos were recovered by the Investigating Agency. It has further been submitted by referring to the evidence of the informant who has been examined as P.W. 1 that she has categorically stated in her cross-examination that she was having a love affair with the appellant and she has also expressed her ignorance with respect to the videos and photographs having been circulated.

7. Learned counsel adds that the appellant is in custody for one year two months.

8. Learned Spl. P.P. has opposed the prayer for bail of the appellant.

9. The evidence of P.W. 1, the informant categorically reveals that there was a love affair between the appellant and the informant which resulted in the physical intimacy established between them. It further appears that the date of birth of the victim/informant is 18.01.2006 and the incident had taken place on

23.06.2022 which would mean that the victim was aged about 16 years 5 months at the time of the incident, though as per the medical report her age has been assessed to be about 19 years.

10. On consideration of the aforesaid facts, we are inclined to admit the appellant on bail. Accordingly, during the pendency of this appeal, the appellant is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) with two sureties of the like amount each, to the satisfaction of learned Special Judge, POCSO Act Jamshedpur in connection with Special POCSO Case No. 58 of 2022 arising out of Chakulia P.S. Case No. 46 of 2022.

11. I.A. No.6669 of 2026 stands disposed of.

**(Rongon Mukhopadhyay, J.)**

**(Arun Kumar Rai, J.)**

07<sup>th</sup> August, 2026  
Rajnish/Suman