

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
A.B.A. No. 1994 of 2026

1. Raju Prasad @ Rajiv Ranjan @ Raju Ranjan Prasad, son of Bhairo Saw,
resident of Village Lami, P.O. Lamipara, P.S. Pandwa, District Palamau
2. Vikash Kumar @ Vikash Kumar Gupta, son of Raju Prasad, resident of
Village Lami, P.O. Lamipara, P.S. Pandwa, District Palamau
..... Petitioners
Versus
The State of Jharkhand Opposite Party

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioners : Mr. Sheo Kumar Singh, Advocate
Ms. Juhi Kumari, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Shashi Kumar Verma, A.P.P.

02/04.05.2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned counsel
for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Pandwa P.S. Case No. 51 of 2024 corresponding to G.R. No.1613 of 2024, registered for the offence under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 117(2) and 3(5) of Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, pending in the court of learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Daltonganj at Palamau.
3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners were earlier granted anticipatory bail as the offences were bailable and thereafter, the charge sheet has been submitted in non-bailable Sections and thereafter, the petitioners have filed anticipatory bail petition and the ladies members were granted anticipatory bail by the learned Sessions Judge by impugned order itself. She further submits that petitioners are having no criminal antecedent as disclosed in para 15 of the petition.
4. Learned counsel appearing for the State has opposed the prayer and submits that now in the charge sheet, non-bailable Sections are there and in view of that, the anticipatory bail may kindly be rejected.
5. Looking to the contents of the FIR, it transpires that the altercation took place causing obstruction on bringing the Arhar crop. Initially under non-bailable offence, the FIR was registered and the petitioners were granted regular bail and subsequently, charge sheet has been submitted adding Section 109 of the BNS and thereafter, the petitioners have preferred anticipatory bail petition which has been

rejected by the learned Sessions Judge and the ladies members have been granted anticipatory bail by the learned Sessions Judge by the impugned order and it has been pointed out that the petitioners are having no criminal antecedent as disclosed in para 15 of the petition and in that view of the matter, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. Accordingly, the above-named petitioners are directed to surrender before the learned Court within two weeks from today and in the event of their arrest or surrender, they shall be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Twenty Five Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Daltonganj at Palamau, in connection with Pandwa P.S. Case No. 51 of 2024 corresponding to G.R. No.1613 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

Anit

Uploaded
05.05.2026