

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Cr. Appeal (S.J.) No.425 of 2025

Shiv Kumar Singh

....

.... Appellant

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. Ashish Kumar Singh, S/o Kedar Singh, aged about 35 years, R/o Khambhawa,
P.O. and P.S. Tatijhariya, Dist.-Hazaribagh

.... Respondents

....

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR

For the Appellant : Mr. Atma Ram Chaudhary, Adv.

For the State : Mr. Satish Prasad, A.P.P.

For the Resp. No.2 : Mr. Anant Kr. Singh, Adv.

....

08/04.05.2026

I.A. No.4447 of 2026

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
2. The instant interlocutory application has been filed on behalf of the appellant for suspension of sentence and grant of ad interim bail, during pendency of the present appeal.
3. The present appeal has been preferred against the judgment of conviction dated 06.03.2025 and order of sentence dated 07.03.2025, passed by the court of learned Sessions Judge, Hazaribag, in S.T. Case No.298 of 2023 arising out of Tatijhariya P.S. Case No.41 of 2022, G.R. No.889 of 2023, whereby the appellant has been convicted for the offence under Sections 326/34 of IPC and sentenced to undergo R.I. for five years with a fine of Rs.10,000/- and in default of payment of fine, further sentenced to undergo imprisonment for two months. Further sentenced to undergo imprisonment for six months for the offence under Sections 323/34 of IPC and he has been further sentenced to undergo imprisonment for six months for the offence under Section 504/34 of IPC. He has been further sentenced to undergo R.I. for two years for the offence under Section 324/34 of IPC. All the sentences were directed to run concurrently.
4. Learned counsel for the appellant has submitted that the F.I.R. has been lodged after six days of the incident and alleged injury report was prepared after three days of the incident. Even the age of the injury has not been disclosed. On this ground, prayer for suspension of sentence has been made.
5. Learned A.P.P. and learned counsel for the respondent No.2 have opposed the prayer for bail of the appellant.

6. Considering the above facts, I am inclined to suspend the sentence and enlarge the appellant on bail, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Sessions Judge, Hazaribag, in S.T. Case No.298 of 2023 arising out of Tatijhariya P.S. Case No.41 of 2022, G.R. No.889 of 2023, subject to the condition that the appellant will remain present before the Court when the appeal is taken up for hearing, failing which his bail shall be cancelled.

7. I.A. No.4447 of 2026 stands disposed of.

(Rajesh Kumar, J.)

04.05.2026

Shahid/

Uploaded on 05.05.2026