

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
A.B.A. No.2338 of 2025

1.Yogeshwar Yadav, son of late Raghunandan Yadav, resident of village Chhipadohar Khurd, PO and PS Bishrampur, Palamu, Jharkhand
 2.Guddu Yadav @ Guddu Kumar Yadav, son of Kameshwar Yadav, resident of village Chhipadohar Khurd, PO and PS Bishrampur, Palamu, Jharkhand
 3.Krishna Yadav, son of Yogeshwar Yadav, resident of village Chhipadohar Khurd, PO and PS Bishrampur, Palamu, Jharkhand
 4.Sonu Yadav @ Sonu Kumar Yadav, son of Yogeshwar Yadav, resident of village Chhipadohar Khurd, PO and PS Bishrampur, Palamu, Jharkhand
 5.Santosh Yadav @ Santosh Kumar Yadav, son of Rampravesh Yadav, resident of village Chhipadohar Khurd, PO and PS Bishrampur, Palamu, Jharkhand

... .. **Petitioner(s)**

Versus

The State of Jharkhand.

... .. **Opposite Party(s)**

CORAM : SRI ANANDA SEN, J.

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. Anurag Kashyap, Advocate.
 For the State : Mr. Fahad Allam, A.P.P.

03/ 08.07.2025

Heard the parties.

2. This anticipatory bail application under Sections 482 and 484 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023, has been preferred by the petitioners apprehending their arrest for offences registered under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 117(2), 109 and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 pending in the court of learned Judicial Magistrate, First Class at Palamu in connection with Bishrampur PS Case No. 46 of 2024.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that there is case and counter case. He submits that injuries on the victim is simple which suggests that there was no intention to commit murder.

4. Learned A.P.P. representing the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

5. After hearing the parties, I find that it is an admitted

case that there was assault committed by these petitioners. Though injury no. 1 on the victim is said to be simple but it is sharp cut injury on the head. The weapons used are *garasa*, spear and axe.

6. Considering the injury which is on the head and the weapons which were used and there is direct allegation of assault, this Court finds it not a fit case for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

7. Accordingly, this Anticipatory Bail Application stands **dismissed.**

(ANANDA SEN, J.)

Tanuj/Cp-3