

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Cr. Appeal (DB) No. 460 of 2020



2024:JHHC:44912-DB

Naveen Oraon Appellant

Versus

The State of Jharkhand Respondent

With

Cr. Appeal (DB) No. 368 of 2020

1. Kuldeep Oraon
2. Rishi Tirkey
3. Sandeep Tirkey
4. Basant Kacchap
5. Ajay Munda @ Anay Munda
6. Sunil Munda
7. Sunil Oraon

..... Appellants

Versus

The State of Jharkhand Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RATNAKER BHENGRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMBUJ NATH

For the Appellant(s) : Mr. A. K. Kashyap, Sr. Advocate
[Cr. Appeal (DB) No.460/2020]
Mr. Nilesh Kumar, Advocate
[Cr. Appeal (DB) No.368/2020]
For the State : Ms. Nehala Sharmin, Spl.P.P
[Cr. Appeal (DB) No.460/2020]
Mr. Bhola Nath Ojha, A.P.P
[Cr. Appeal (DB) No.368/2020]

10/Dated: 05.02.2024

I.A. No.3840 of 2023 (Cr. Appeal (DB) No.460/2020)

I.A. No.1207 of 2024(Cr. Appeal (DB) No.368/2020)

Heard learned counsels for the appellants and learned A.P.P appearing on behalf of the State in both these cases.

These interlocutory applications have been preferred by the appellants for grant of bail to them during the pendency of these appeals.

Learned counsels for the appellants have submitted that the appellants have been convicted for the offences under Sections 376D, 366/120B, 323/120B, 341/120B, 342/120B, 379/120B, 411/120B and Section 120B of the Indian Penal Code and the maximum sentence upon them is rigorous imprisonment for life term which shall mean imprisonment for the remainder period of natural life



2024:JHHC:44912-DB

-2-

alongwith a fine of Rs. 50,000/- for the offence under Section 376-D of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsels for the appellants have submitted that the Test Identification Parade is valueless because the photos of the appellants were earlier shown to the victim and therefore, T.I. Parade becomes valueless and on this basis, learned counsels for the appellants seeks consideration for suspension of sentence.

Learned counsels for the State in both these cases have opposed the prayer for suspension of sentence.

Regard being had to the nature of allegations and the involvements of these appellants also after perusing the essential parts of the judgment available on record, we are not inclined to release the appellants on bail. Accordingly, their prayer for bail is hereby rejected.

The aforesaid I.As stand dismissed.

(Ratnaker Bhengra, J.)

(Ambuj Nath, J.)

BS/-