

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Criminal Appeal (D.B.) No. 429 of 2024

Budhram Soy ... Appellant
Versus
The State of Jharkhand ... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY
: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRADEEP KUMAR SRIVASTAVA**

For the Appellant : Mr. Amit Kumar Tiwari, Advocate
For the Respondent : A.P.P.

6/17.03.2026 I. A. No. 1838 of 2026:

Heard Mr. Amit Kumar Tiwari, learned counsel appearing for the appellant and the learned A.P.P. appearing for the State.

This interlocutory application has been preferred by the appellant for grant of bail to him during the pendency of this appeal.

The appellant has been convicted for the offence under Section 302 of I.P.C. and has been sentenced to undergo imprisonment for life along with a fine of Rs. 10,000/-.

It has been alleged that the father of the informant was murdered.

Submission has been advanced by the learned counsel appearing for the appellant that there are no eye-witnesses to the occurrence.

Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the appellant and has submitted that on the confessional statement of the appellant blood-stained apparels as well as the axe used in the commission of murder had been recovered from the house of the appellant. It has been submitted that the DNA profile further strengthens the case of the prosecution.

On consideration of the recovery effected on the confession of the appellant of the murder weapon as well as blood-stained clothes, we are not inclined to admit the appellant on bail and the same is hereby rejected.

I. A. No. 1838 of 2026 stands rejected.

(Rongon Mukhopadhyay, J.)

(Pradeep Kumar Srivastava, J.)