

(2026:JHHC:12444)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No. 3435 of 2026

1. Basant Yadav, aged about 33 years, son of Laxmi Yadav,
 2. Rakesh Yadav, aged about 29 years, son of Laxmi Yadav,
 3. Soni Devi @ Soniya Devi, aged about 34 years, wife of Shankhnath Yadav.
- All are residents of village Hotwar, P.O. Dwarika Police Station, Pipratanr, District –Palamau.

	...	Petitioners
	Versus	
The State of Jharkhand	...	Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioners	: Mr. Abhay Kr. Chaturvedy, Advocate
For the State	: Mr. Vijoy Kumar Sinha, Addl. P.P.

Order No.02 Dated- 28.04.2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioners have moved this Court for grant of bail in connection with Pipratand P.S. Case No.35 of 2025 registered for the offences punishable under sections 109, 118(1), 352 & 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.

The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the allegation against the petitioners is that the petitioners in furtherance of common intention with the co-accused persons attempted to murder the informant and his family member namely Parwati Devi and Shambhar Yadav, causing injuries to them. It is further submitted that the allegations against the petitioner are all false. It is next submitted that for the self-same occurrence, from the side of the petitioners, Pipratand P.S. Case No. 36 of 2025 has been instituted on the basis of the written report submitted by the petitioner no.3. It is further submitted that there was a free fight between the parties and the petitioner has no intention to kill anybody. It is next submitted that the co-accused person has already been given the privilege of anticipatory bail by a coordinate bench of this Court vide order dated 10.02.2026 in A.B.A. No. 711 of 2026. It is then submitted that the petitioners

have been in custody since 04.02.2026, as has been mentioned in paragraph no. 18 of the bail application. It is further submitted that the petitioners undertake to cooperate with the trial of the case and further undertake that they will not annoy or disturb the informant or the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioners be admitted to bail.

The learned Addl. P.P. opposes the prayer for bail.

Considering the submissions of the counsels and the fact as discussed above, I am inclined to enlarge the above-named petitioners on bail. Accordingly, the petitioners are directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate -1st Class, Palamau, in connection with Pipratand P.S. Case No.35 of 2025 with the condition that the petitioners will co-operate with the trial of the case and will furnish their mobile number and photocopy of the Aadhar Card with an undertaking that they will not change their mobile number during the trial of the case, with further condition that they will not annoy or disturb the informant or the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

28.04.2026
Sonu/