

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND, RANCHI
A.B.A. No. 2120 of 2026

Anita Kumari, aged about 22 years, daughter of Kartik Munda,
resident of village – Hendegir, PO and PS – Keredari, District –
Hazaribagh

.... Petitioner

-- Versus --

The State of Jharkhand

.... Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioner :- Mr. Hemant Kr. Shikarwar, Advocate

For the State :- Mr. Abhay Kr. Tiwari, Advocate

02/06.05.2026 Heard learned counsel appearing for the petitioner as well
as the learned counsel appearing for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with
Barkagaon P.S. Case No.09 of 2026 for the alleged offences
registered under Sections 111(2)(b), 111(3) and 317(5) of Bharatiya
Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Sections 25(1-A), 25(6), 25(7), 25(1-B)(a),
26 and 35 of Arms Act pending in the Court of learned JMFC,
Hazaribagh.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that
allegation is made that the petitioner happened to be a friend of
Ashish Sao, who is another accused. He further submits that the
petitioner was pursuing the case of Ashish Sao and in view of that
the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. He then
submits that the petitioner has got no criminal antecedent as
disclosed in paragraph No.20 of the petition. On these grounds, he

submits that the anticipatory bail may kindly be granted.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the State opposed the prayer and submits that recovery of weapons like AK-47 and SLR is there from an almirah of her house. On this ground, he submits that anticipatory bail may kindly be rejected.

5. It has been disclosed by Rajdeep Kumar, who has been arrested, that he has supplied the arms and ammunition to girlfriend of Ashish Sao and she has hidden the arms in connivance with her sister in her house and on search made from almirah of her house weapons like AK-47 and SLR has been recovered.

6. In the attending facts and circumstances, I am not inclined to grant privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, hence, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is hereby rejected.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

*Dated 06.05.2026
Sangam/*