

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Cr. Appeal (DB) No. 485 of 2023

Satyanarayan Soni	----	---	Appellant
Versus			
The State of Jharkhand	---	---	Respondent

CORAM: **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rongon Mukhopadhyay**
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ambuj Nath

For the Appellant	: Mr. R. S. Mazumdar, Sr. Advocate : Mr. Nishant Kr. Roy, Advocate
For the Resp.-State	: Mr. Fahad Allam, A.P.P.

I.A. No. 7042 of 2025

06/15.07.2025 Heard Mr. R. S. Mazumdar, learned senior counsel for the appellant and Mr. Fahad Allam, learned A.P.P.

This application has been preferred by the appellant for grant of bail to him during the pendency of this appeal.

The appellant has been convicted for the offences under Sections 498A & 302 of the I.P.C. and has been sentenced to R.I. for life along with a fine of Rs. 10,000/- for the offence under Section 302 of the I.P.C.

It has been alleged that the accused persons including the present appellant committed the murder of the daughter of the informant.

Submission has been advanced by the learned senior counsel for the appellant that the main allegation of strangulating the wife of the appellant is upon his brother Mukesh. It has further been submitted that the appellant is in custody since 30.03.2018.

Learned A.P.P has opposed the prayer for bail of the appellant.

It appears that P.W.11 who is the son of the appellant, is an eye witness to the occurrence who has specifically stated about the assault committed by the appellant upon his brother and the brother of the appellant Mukesh Soni had strangulated her to death. The postmortem report also supports the factum of strangulation.

Regard being had to the above, we are not inclined to admit the appellant on bail. Accordingly, his prayer for bail stands rejected at this stage.

The aforesaid I.A. stands rejected.

(Rongon Mukhopadhyay, J)

(Ambuj Nath, J)

Jay-Rahul/