

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND, RANCHI
A.B.A. No. 1946 of 2026

Begam Khatun, aged about 31 years, W/o Md. Manauwar Ali,
R/o – Donaiya, PO – Nandudih, PS – Satgawan, District –
Koderma, Jharkhand **.... Petitioner**

-- Versus --

The State of Jharkhand **.... Opposite Party**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioner :- Mr. Santosh Kumar, Advocate

For the State :- Mr. Satish Prasad, Advocate

02/23.04.2026 Heard learned counsel appearing for the petitioner as well
as the learned counsel appearing for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with
Satgawan P.S. Case No.06 of 2026 for the alleged offences
registered under Sections 103(1) and 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya
Sanhita, 2023 pending in the Court of learned J.M. 1st Class,
Koderma.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and the
petitioner has not murdered the deceased, who happened to be
second wife of her husband namely Md. Manauwar Ali. He further
submits that the allegation is made against the husband and in view
of that the anticipatory bail may kindly be granted.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the State opposed the prayer
and submits that the petitioner is the first wife of Md. Manauwar Ali

and petitioner and Md. Manauwar Ali both were involved in demanding dowry and at one place of time Rs.2,50,000/- has been paid by the parents of the informant and the independent witnesses have stated in paragraph Nos.5, 9, 10, 11, 28, 30 and 34 of the case diary that the petitioner along with her husband used to torture the deceased. On these grounds, he submits that the anticipatory bail may kindly be rejected.

5. It has come in the diary at paragraph Nos.5, 9, 10, 11, 28, 30 and 34 that this petitioner along with her husband were torturing the deceased, who happened to be second wife of Md. Manauwar Ali.

6. In the attending facts and circumstances, I am not inclined to grant privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, hence, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is hereby rejected.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

*Dated 23.04.2026
Sangam/*