

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Civil Revision (F) No. 3764 of 2026

....

Mehilal Rajwar and Ors.		Petitioners
Versus		

1. Shibu Ram Mahto
2. Kameshwar Mahto
3. Nandlal Mahto
4. Hari Narayan Mahto
5. Jagdish Mahto
6. Manu Mahto
7. Darku Mahto
8. Ramlal Mahto
9. Gopal Kumar
10. Nawal Kishor Mahto
11. Hira Lal Mahto
12. Udit Narayan Mahto
13. Fagu Mahto
14. Sanjay Kumar
15. Vikash Kumar
16. Ajay Kumar
17. Babuchand Mahto
18. Birbal Mahto
19. Kishun Mahto
20. Babuchand Mahto
21. Moti Lal Mahto
22. Sanjay Kumar
23. Nakul Mahto
24. Kamal Mahto
25. Babuchand Mahto
26. Manoj Kumar Mahto
27. Mathura Rajwar
28. Binod Rajwar
29. Gendiya Devi

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRASAD

For the Petitioners	: Mr. Atanu Banerjee, Advocate
	: Mr. Aditya Banerjee, Advocate

.....

Order No.03/06th May, 2026

1. Pursuant to the order dated 08.04.2026 passed by this Court, notices were issued upon the Respondent No.1 to Respondent No.26.

However, Respondent No.1 to Respondent No.12 and Respondent No.17 to Respondent No.26 have received the notices but not appeared before this Court.

2. It appears from the Office note dated 08.04.2026 that the notices of Respondent No.1 and Respondent No.23 were received by themselves, whereas notices of Respondent No.2 to Respondent No.11 have been received by their cousins and the notice of Respondent No.12 has been received by his grandfather.

3. It further appears from the Office note that the notices of Respondent No.17 and 18 have been received by their brothers-in-law whereas the notices of Respondent No.19, Respondent No.21 and Respondent No.25 have been received by their daughters-in-law and the notice of Respondent No.20 has been received by his son.

4. It further appears from the Office note that the notice of Respondent No.22 has been received by his father and the notice of Respondent No.24 has been received by his brother and the notice of Respondent No.26 has been received by his uncle.

5. It also pointed out that unserved notices alongwith speed post covers of Respondent No.13 to 16 are kept on record.

6. However, when the case is called out, none appears on behalf of the Respondent No.1 to Respondent No.12 and Respondent No.17 to Respondent No.26.

7. Put up this case on 10th June, 2026 and till then, the further proceeding in Execution Case No.18 of 2025 arising out of Title Suit No.13 of 1990 shall remain stayed, which is pending before the Sri Raj Kumar Pandey, learned Civil Judge, (Sr. Division)-II, Bermo at

Tenughat or his successor Court.

8. In the meantime, learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to take step for fresh service of notice upon the Respondent No. 13 to Respondent No. 16 and Respondent No. 19, Respondent No. 21, Respondent No. 25 on their correct and present address.

(Sanjay Prasad, J.)

Dated 06th May, 2026

Nishant/-