

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

Cont. Case (Civil) No. 387 of 2026

Vijeta Projects and Infrastructure Ltd., having registered office at West Morabadi Ground, P.O. Ranchi University, P.S. Bariatu, District - Ranchi, Jharkhand – 834008, through one of its Director, Sri Ravindra Bharti, son of Sri Yogendra Prasad Singh, resident of Qr. No. R-25/5, Harmu Housing Colony, P.O. Doranda, P.S. Argora, District Ranchi, Jharkhand 834002.

... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand.
2. Shri Arava Raj Kumar, father's name not known to the Petitioner, Managing Director, Jharkhand State Building Construction Corporation Ltd., having its office at Jharkhand Mantralaya (Project Building), Dhurwa, P.O. Dhurwa, P.S. Jagannathpur, District Ranchi – 834004.
3. Shri Sunil Kumar, father's name not known to the Petitioner, Executive Engineer, Jharkhand State Building Construction Corporation Ltd., having its office at Jharkhand Mantralaya (Project Building), Dhurwa, P.O. Dhurwa, P.S. Jagannathpur, District Ranchi – 834004.

... Opposite Parties

**CORAM: HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH SHANKAR**

For the Petitioner: Mr Sudhanshu Kumar Singh, Advocate
Mrs Chainika, Advocate
For the Opp. Parties: Mr Piyush Chitresh, A.C. to A.G.
Mr Sumeet Gadodia, Advocate
Ms Sanya Kumari, Advocate
Ms Nidhi Lall, Advocate
Mr Anish Lal, Advocate
Ms Sejal Agawal, Advocate

04/Dated: 07.05.2026

1. Heard Mr Sudhanshu Kumar Singh for the petitioner and Mr Gadodia for the respondents.

2. Mr Gadodia, on instructions, states the directions for payment as issued in our order dated 26.02.2026 in W.P. (C) No. 1867 of 2025 have now been complied with.
3. Mr Gadodia referred us to paragraphs 5 to 7 of the affidavit filed by the Managing Director of Jharkhand State Building Construction Corporation Limited, in which it is stated that an amount of Rs.9,29,90,435/- has already been credited to the account of the petitioner after making the necessary statutory deductions.
4. In paragraph 9 of this affidavit, the Managing Director has stated that as and when any representation is filed by the petitioner regarding refund of GST and labour cost component, the same shall be disposed of in accordance with law with the time limit prescribed by this Court. In paragraph 10, the Managing Director has tendered an unconditional apology for delay in compliance.
5. Considering that the directions in our order dated 26.02.2026 have been complied and even an unconditional apology has been tendered, we do not deem it appropriate to continue these proceedings any further. Thus, by accepting the apology, we discharge the notice and close these proceedings.

(M. S. Sonak, C.J.)

(Rajesh Shankar, J.)

May 07, 2026

N.A.F.R.

Manoj/Sharda/Cp.2

Uploaded on 08.05.2026