

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No.3449 of 2026

Md. Rehan @ Rehan Bachha @ Lalwa, aged about 22 years, son of
Ranjit @ Md. Shahid, resident of Carriage Colony, Muslim Basti,
P.O. and P.S. Burmamines, Town Jamshedpur, District East
Singhbhum, Jharkhand.

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Arvind Kr. Choudhary, Advocate
Mr. Prathik, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Pankaj Kumar, P.P.
Ms. Malsi Pathak, AC to P.P.

Order No:-02 Dated:-01-05-2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has been made accused in connection with
Sessions Trial No. 207 of 2025 arising out of Burmamines P.S. Case
No. 61 of 2024 corresponding to G.R. Case No. 390 of 2025 registered
for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115, 118(1), 109,
308(3), 351(2) of the B.N.S., 2023.

This is the third journey of the petitioner with the prayer for
regular bail. Earlier, the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner was
rejected by this Court vide order dated 23.06.2025 passed in B.A. No.
5020 of 2025 and subsequently, the prayer for regular bail of the
petitioner was again rejected by this Court vide order dated
07.11.2025 passed in B.A. No. 9966 of 2025.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation
against the petitioner is that the fresh ground is that in the
meanwhile, both the informant and the post-occurrence witness have
been examined but they have stated that they are not the eye-witness
of the case. It is next submitted that the allegation against the
petitioner is false. It is then submitted that so far, the only two

witnesses have been examined but there is no rhyme or reason as to why the alleged victim has not come to the witness box. It is also submitted that neither of the two witnesses are eye-witness of the case. It is further submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 19.12.2024 as is evident from para-9 of the instant bail application. It is lastly submitted that the petitioner undertakes to co-operate with the trial of the case and also undertakes not to annoy or disturb the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner be released on bail.

Learned counsel appearing for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

Considering the facts of this case, the above-named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Sessions Judge-V, Jamshedpur in connection with Sessions Trial No. 207 of 2025 arising out of Burmamines P.S. Case No. 61 of 2024 corresponding to G.R. Case No. 390 of 2025 **with the condition that he will co-operate with the trial of the case and furnish his mobile number and photocopy of the Aadhar Card in the court below with an undertaking that he will not change his mobile number during the trial of the case and he will not annoy or disturb the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case.**

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

Dated:- 01.05.2026
Saroj/