

(2026:JHHC:12802)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B. A. No. 2844 of 2026

Karamdayal Oraon, aged about 47 years, son of late Dharmu Oraon, resident of village - Sindu Mungo, PO- Mungo, PS - Serengda, District - Lohardaga.Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand.Opp. Party

For the Petitioner	: Md. Abdul Wahab, Advocate
For the State	: Ms. Anuradha Sahay, Addl. P.P.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

Order No:- 03, Dated:- 30th April, 2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has moved before this Court for grant of bail in connection with Special NIA Cases No. 04 of 2022, corresponding to S.T. Case No. 177 of 2022, arising out of Senha P.S. Case No. 41 of 2019 registered for the offences punishable under sections 147, 148, 149, 307, 186, 353 of the Indian Penal Code along with Sections 25(1-B)a, (1-A), 26(1)(2), 27(1)(2) and 35 of the Arms Act, and Sections 10, 13, 16, 17 of the UAP Act, 1967.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner was a member of an unlawful assembly and in prosecution of the common object of the assembly, being member of the banned organization, JJMP, was planning to carry out a major militant occurrence and when the Police party went near the foothills of the hill where the petitioner and co-accused persons were present, they fired upon the Police Personnel with an intention to kill them. The Police Personnel could apprehend two of the members of the gang of the petitioner who disclosed that they work for the banned organization JJMP and 25 members of JJMP gathered to collect levy from the contractor and the planning to set fire to the on-going work-site of the contractor. It is next submitted that the co-accused persons have disclosed the name of the petitioner. It is further submitted that the allegations against the petitioner are all false and the petitioner has no criminal antecedent as per the report submitted by the Officer - in- charge of

Senha Police Station in the district of Lohardaga, which was called for by this Court. It is next submitted that though the charge has been framed against the petitioner on 02.12.2025, but charges for the offences punishable under Section UAPA (The Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act, has not been framed against the petitioner. It is then submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 12.09.2025, as has been mentioned in paragraph no. 14 of the bail application. It is next submitted that the petitioner undertakes to cooperate with the trial of the case and further undertakes that he will not annoy or disturb the informant or the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case. It is lastly submitted that the co-accused persons with similar allegation have already been admitted to bail by this Court vide order dated 16.12.2019, 19.12.2019, 06.01.2020 and 08.04.2021 in B.A. Nos. 11204 of 2019, B.A. No. 11342 of 2019, B.A. No. 11513 of 2019 and in B.A. No. 3736 of 2021. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner be admitted to bail.

The learned Addl. P.P. opposes the prayer for bail.

Considering the submissions of the counsels and the fact as discussed above, I am inclined to enlarge the abovenamed petitioner on bail. Accordingly, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of *learned Sessions Judge, Lohardaga*, in connection with *Special NIA Cases No. 04 of 2022, corresponding to S.T. Case No. 177 of 2022, arising out of Senha P.S. Case No. 41 of 2019* with the condition that the petitioner will cooperate with the trial of the case and will furnish his mobile number and a copy of his Aadhar Card in the court below with the undertaking that he will not change his mobile number during the trial of the case, with further condition that he will not annoy or disturb the informant or the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)